arXiv:0807.0614v1 [math.DG] 3 Jul 2008

Distinguished Torsion, Curvature and Deflection Tensors in the Multi-Time Hamilton Geometry

Gheorghe Atanasiu and Mircea Neagu

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to present the main geometrical objects on the dual 1-jet bundle $J^{1*}(\mathcal{T}, M)$ (this is the polymomentum phase space of the De Donder-Weyl covariant Hamiltonian formulation of field theory) that characterize our approach of multi-time Hamilton geometry. In this direction, we firstly introduce the geometrical concept of a nonlinear connection N on the dual 1-jet space $J^{1*}(\mathcal{T}, M)$. Then, starting with a given N-linear connection D on $J^{1*}(\mathcal{T}, M)$, we describe the adapted components of the torsion, curvature and deflection distinguished tensors attached to the N-linear connection D.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 53B40, 53C60, 53C07.

Key words and phrases: dual 1-jet vector bundle, nonlinear connections, N-linear connections, torsion, curvature and deflection d-tensors.

1 Introduction

It is well known that the 1-jet spaces are the basic mathematical objects used in the study of classical and quantum field theories. For this reason, the differential geometry of 1-jet bundles was intensively studied by a lot of authors like, for example, (in chronological order) Saunders [22], Asanov [4], Neagu and Udrişte [21], [19].

In the last decades, numerous physicists and geometers were preoccupied with the development of a branch of mathematical-physics, which is situated at the junction of the Theoretical Physics with the Differential Geometry and the Theory of PDEs. This branch of mathematical-physics is characterized, on the one hand (from the point of view of physicists), by the geometrical quantization of the covariant Hamiltonian field theories, and, on the other hand (from the point of view of geometers), by the geometrization of ordinary Lagrangians and Hamiltonians from Analytical Mechanics or of multi-time Lagrangians and Hamiltonians used in Theoretical Physics.

In order to reach their aim, the physicists use in their studies that so-called the *covariant Hamiltonian geometry of physical fields*, which is the *multi-parameter*, or *multi-time*, extension of the classical Hamiltonian formulation from Mechanics.

It is important to point out that the covariant Hamiltonian geometry of physical fields appears in the literature of specialty in three distinct variants (the *multisymplectic* geometry, the *polysymplectic* geometry and the *De Donder-Weyl covariant Hamiltonian* geometry), which differ by the *phase space* used in study. All these three different alternative extensions of the Hamiltonian formulation to field theory (these extensions originate from the calculus of variations of multiple integrals) reduce to the classical Hamiltonian formalism from Mechanics if the number of space-time dimensions equals to one.

Using the technics of the symplectic geometry, the *multisymplectic covariant geometry of physical fields* is developed by Gotay, Isenberg, Marsden, Montgomery and their co-workers [10], [11] on a finite-dimensional multisymplectic phase space.

In order to quantize the covariant Hamiltonian field theory (this is the final purpose in the framework of quantum field theory), Giachetta, Mangiarotti and Sardanashvily [8], [9] develop the *polysymplectic Hamiltonian geometry*, which studies the relations between the equations of first order Lagrangian field theory on fiber bundles and the covariant Hamilton equations on a finite-dimensional polysymplectic phase space.

Another convenient approach for quantization of the Hamiltonian field theory is the *De Donder-Weyl Hamiltonian canonical formulation of field theory* (this is known for about 80 years), which is intensively studied by Kanatchikov (please see [12], [13], [14] and references therein) as opposed to the conventional field-theoretical Hamiltonian formalism, which requires the space + time decomposition and leads to the picture of a field as a mechanical system with infinitely many degrees of freedom. The De Donder-Weyl Hamiltonian approach is achieved by assigning the canonical momentum like variables to the whole set of space-time derivatives of a field, that is $\partial_b x^j \to p^b_j$, where x^i denote field variables ($i = 1, ..., n$), t^a are space-time variables ($a = 1, ..., m$), $\partial_b x^j$ are space-time derivatives (or first jets) of field variables and p^b_j denote *polymomenta*.

In this direction, in the De Donder-Weyl polymomentum canonical theory, given a Lagrangian function $L = L(t^a, x^i, \partial_b x^j)$, the polymomenta are introduced by the formula $p^b_j := \partial L/\partial(\partial_b x^j)$ and the corresponding *De Donder-Weyl Hamiltonian function* is given by $H := \left(\partial_a x^i\right) p^a_i - L$, where it is obvious that H is a function of variables $z^I := (t^a, x^i, p^a_i)$. In these variables the Euler-Lagrange field equations can be equivalent rewritten in the form of *De Donder-Weyl Hamiltonian field equations* [12], [13]

$$\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial t^a} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p^a_i}, \quad \frac{\partial p^a_i}{\partial t^a} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x^i},$$

which, for $m = 1$, reduce to the standard Hamilton-Jacobi equations from Mechanics, and for $m > 1$, provide a multi-time covariant generalization of the Hamiltonian formalism.

From the perspective of geometers, we point out that, following the geometrical ideas initially stated by Asanov in the paper [4], a *multi-time Lagrange contravariant geometry on 1-jet spaces* (in the sense of distinguished connections, torsions and curvatures) was recently developed by Neagu and Udrişte [19], [21]. This geometrical theory is a natural multi-time extension on 1-jet spaces of the already classical *Lagrange geometrical theory of the tangent bundle* elaborated by Miron and Anastasiei [16]. On the other hand, suggested by the field theoretical extension of the basic structures of classical Analytical Mechanics within the framework of the De Donder-Weyl covariant Hamiltonian formulation, the studies of Miron [15], Atanasiu [5], [6] and others led to the development of the *Hamilton geometry of the cotangent bundle* exposed in the book [17].

In such a physical and geometrical context, the aim of this paper is to expose the basic geometrical objects (such as the distinguished connections, torsions and curvatures) on the dual 1-jet vector bundle $J^{1*}(\mathcal{T},M)$ (the polymomentum phase space), coordinated by (t^a,x^i,p^a_i), where $a=\overline{1,m}$ and $i=\overline{1,n}$. This geometrical theory (which finally represents a geometrization for multi-time Hamiltonian functions) is called by us the *multi-time covariant Hamilton geometry.* Note that the multi-time covariant Hamilton geometry is a natural multi-time generalization of the Hamilton geometry of the cotangent bundle [17]. We sincerely hope that the geometrical results exposed in this paper to have a physical meaning for physicists, physical meaning which we do not know yet.

Finally, we would like to point out that the *multi-time Legendre jet duality* between this multi-time covariant Hamilton geometry and the already constructed multi-time contravariant Lagrange geometry [19] (this is a geometrization for jet multi-time Lagrangian functions, which is different of the geometrization from the paper [18]) is a part of our work in progress and represents a general direction for our future studies.

2 The dual 1-jet bundle $J^{1*}(\mathcal{T},M)$

Let $\mathcal{T}$ and M be two smooth manifolds of dimensions m, respectively n, whose local coordinates are $(t^a)_{a=\overline{1,m}}$, respectively $\left(x^i\right)_{i=\overline{1,n}}$.

Remark 2.1 *i) Throughout this paper all geometrical objects and all mappings are considered of class C^∞, expressed by the words* ***differentiable*** *or* ***smooth****.*

ii) Note that the indices a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h run over the set $\{1,2,...,m\}$, the indices i,j,k,l,p,q,r,s run over the set $\{1,2,...,n\}$, and the Einstein convention of summation is adopted all over this work.

Let us consider the 1-jet vector bundle $J^1(\mathcal{T},M)\to\mathcal{T}\times M$ which has the coordinates (t^a,x^i,x^i_a) and the fibre type $\mathbb{R}^{mn}$.

Remark 2.2 *From a physical point of view, we use the following terminology:*

- *The manifold $\mathcal{T}$ is regarded as a* ***temporal*** *manifold, or a* ***multi-time*** *manifold. Note that our temporal coordinates t^a are like the space-time variables in the De Donder-Weyl covariant Hamilton geometry [12], [14].*

- *The manifold M is regarded as a* ***spatial*** *one. Note that our spatial coordinates x^i denote the field variables in the De Donder-Weyl covariant Hamilton geometry [12], [13], [14].*

- *The coordinates x_a^i are regarded by us as* ***partial directions*** *or* ***partial velocities****. Note that, in the De Donder-Weyl covariant Hamilton geometry [12], [13], [14], the coordinates x_a^i are space-time derivatives (or first jets) of the field variables.*

- *The fibre bundle $J^1(\mathcal{T}, M) \to \mathcal{T} \times M$ is regarded as a* ***bundle of configurations****. This is because in the particular case $\mathcal{T} = \mathbb{R}$ (i.e., the temporal manifold $\mathcal{T}$ coincides with the usual time axis represented by the set of real numbers $\mathbb{R}$), we recover the bundle of configurations which characterizes the classical non-autonomous mechanics. For more details, please see Abraham and Marsden [1] and Bucătaru and Miron [7].*

In order to simplify the notations, we will use the notation $E = J^1(\mathcal{T}, M)$. We recall that the transformation of coordinates $\left(t^a, x^i, x_a^i\right) \longleftrightarrow \left(\tilde{t}^a, \tilde{x}^i, \tilde{x}_a^i\right)$, induced from $\mathcal{T} \times M$ on the 1-jet space E, are given by

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{t}^a = \tilde{t}^a\left(t^b\right), & \det\left(\dfrac{\partial \tilde{t}^a}{\partial t^b}\right) \neq 0, \\ \tilde{x}^i = \tilde{x}^i\left(x^j\right), & \det\left(\dfrac{\partial \tilde{x}^i}{\partial x^j}\right) \neq 0, \\ \tilde{x}_a^i = \dfrac{\partial \tilde{x}^i}{\partial x^j}\dfrac{\partial t^b}{\partial \tilde{t}^a} x_b^j. & \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

Remark 2.3 *Let $\mathbb{R} \times TM$ be the trivial bundle over the base tangent space TM, whose coordinates induced by TM are $\left(t, x^i, y^i\right)$, $i = \overline{1, n}$, $n = \dim M$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ being a temporal parameter. Then, the changes of coordinates on the trivial bundle $\mathbb{R} \times TM \to TM$ are given by*

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{t} = t, \\ \tilde{x}^i = \tilde{x}^i\left(x^j\right), \\ \tilde{y}^i = \dfrac{\partial \tilde{x}^i}{\partial x^j} y^j. \end{cases}$$

A time dependent Lagrangian function for M is a real valued function L on $\mathbf{E} = \mathbb{R} \times TM$. Such Lagrangians (called ***rheonomic*** *or* ***non-autonomous****) are important for variational calculus and non-autonomous mechanics. A* ***rheonomic Lagrange geometry*** *on the trivial bundle $\mathbf{E} = \mathbb{R} \times TM$ was developed by Anastasiei, Kawaguchi and Miron [2], [3], [16].*

More general, let us consider the 1-jet bundle $\mathbb{E} = J^1(\mathbb{R}, M) \equiv \mathbb{R} \times TM$ over the product manifold $\mathbb{R} \times M$. Then, the changes of coordinates on $\mathbb{E}$ have the *form*

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{t} = \tilde{t}\left(t\right), \\ \tilde{x}^i = \tilde{x}^i\left(x^j\right), \\ \tilde{y}^i = \dfrac{\partial \tilde{x}^i}{\partial x^j}\dfrac{dt}{d\tilde{t}}y^j. \end{cases}$$

These transformations of coordinates point out the ***relativistic*** *character played by the time t in the non-autonomous mechanics. A* ***relativistic rheonomic Lagrange geometry*** *on the 1-jet bundle $\mathbb{E} = J^1(\mathbb{R}, M)$ was developed by Neagu in [20]. This geometry has many similarities with the geometry elaborated by Anastasiei, Kawaguchi and Miron, but they are however distinct ones.*

Using the general theory of vector bundles, we can consider the dual 1-jet vector bundle $E^* = J^{1*}\left(\mathcal{T}, M\right)$, whose fibre type is also $\mathbb{R}^{mn}$. In fact, the construction of the dual 1-jet vector bundle relies on the substitution of coordinates $x_a^i \to p_i^a$, that is if $E = J^1\left(\mathcal{T}, M\right)$ is coordinated by $\left(t^a, x^i, x_a^i\right)$, then the corresponding dual 1-jet space $E^* = J^{1*}\left(\mathcal{T}, M\right)$ is coordinated by $\left(t^a, x^i, p_i^a\right)$. Moreover, the transformation of coordinates $\left(t^a, x^i, p_i^a\right) \leftrightarrow \left(\tilde{t}^a, \tilde{x}^i, \tilde{p}_i^a\right)$, induced from $\mathcal{T} \times M$ on the dual 1-jet space E^*, are given by

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{t}^a = \tilde{t}^a\left(t^b\right), & \det\left(\dfrac{\partial \tilde{t}^a}{\partial t^b}\right) \neq 0, \\ \tilde{x}^i = \tilde{x}^i\left(x^j\right), & \det\left(\dfrac{\partial \tilde{x}^i}{\partial x^j}\right) \neq 0, \\ \tilde{p}_i^a = \dfrac{\partial x^j}{\partial \tilde{x}^i}\dfrac{\partial \tilde{t}^a}{\partial t^b}p_j^b. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

According to Kanatchikov's physical terminology [13], we introduce

Definition 2.4 *The coordinates p_i^a, $a = \overline{1, m}$, $i = \overline{1, n}$, are called* ***polymomenta****, and the dual 1-jet space E^* is called the* ***polymomentum phase space****.*

Remark 2.5 *Let $\mathbb{R} \times T^*M$ be the trivial bundle over the base cotangent space T^*M, whose coordinates induced by T^*M are $\left(t, x^i, p_i\right)$, $i = \overline{1, n}$, $n = \dim M$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ being temporal parameter. Then, the changes of coordinates on the trivial bundle $\mathbb{R} \times T^*M \to T^*M$ are given by*

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{t} = t \\ \tilde{x}^i = \tilde{x}^i\left(x^j\right), \\ \tilde{p}_i = \dfrac{\partial x^j}{\partial \tilde{x}^i}p_j \end{cases}$$

A time dependent Hamiltonian function for M is a real valued function H on $\mathbf{E}^* = \mathbb{R} \times T^*M$. Such Hamiltonians (called ***rheonomic*** *or* ***non-autonomous****)* *are important for covariant Hamiltonian approach of non-autonomous mechanics. A geometrization of these Hamiltonians on the trivial bundle* $\mathbf{E}^* = \mathbb{R}\times T^*M$ *is studied by Miron, Atanasiu and their co-workers [5], [6], [17].*

More general, in our jet geometrical approach, we use a relativistic time t*. This is because we have a change of coordinates (induced on* $\mathbf{E}^* = \mathbb{R}\times T^*M$ *by the product manifold* $\mathbb{R}\times M$*) of the form*

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{t} = \tilde{t}\,(t) \\ \tilde{x}^i = \tilde{x}^i\left(x^j\right), \\ \tilde{p}_i = \dfrac{\partial x^j}{\partial \tilde{x}^i}\dfrac{d\tilde{t}}{dt}p_j. \end{cases}$$

The above two groups of transformations of coordinates are in use for the ***Hamilton geometry*** *that study the metrical structure*

$$g^{ij}\,(t,x,p) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial p_i \partial p_j}.$$

Doing a transformation of coordinates (2.2) on E^*, we find the following results:

Proposition 2.6 *The local natural basis* $\left\{\dfrac{\partial}{\partial t^a}, \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \dfrac{\partial}{\partial p_i^a}\right\}$ *of the Lie algebra of the vector fields* $\chi\,(E^*)$ *transforms by the laws:*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t^a} &= \frac{\partial \tilde{t}^b}{\partial t^a}\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{t}^b} + \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_j^b}{\partial t^a}\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{p}_j^b}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} &= \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^j}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}^j} + \frac{\partial \tilde{p}_j^b}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{p}_j^b}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i^a} &= \frac{\partial \tilde{t}^b}{\partial t^a}\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \tilde{x}^j}\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{p}_j^b}; \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Proposition 2.7 *The local natural cobasis* $\left\{dt^a, dx^i, dp_i^a\right\}$ *of the algebra Lie of the covector fields* $\chi^*\,(E^*)$ *transforms by the laws:*

$$\begin{aligned} dt^a &= \frac{\partial t^a}{\partial \tilde{t}^b}d\tilde{t}^b, \\ dx^i &= \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \tilde{x}^j}d\tilde{x}^j, \\ dp_i^a &= \frac{\partial p_i^a}{\partial \tilde{t}^b}d\tilde{t}^b + \frac{\partial p_i^a}{\partial \tilde{x}^j}d\tilde{x}^j + \frac{\partial t^a}{\partial \tilde{t}^b}\frac{\partial \tilde{x}^j}{\partial x^i}d\tilde{p}_j^b. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

3 Nonlinear connections

Taking into account the complicated transformation rules (2.3) and (2.4), we need a *nonlinear connection* on the dual 1-jet space E^*, in order to construct some *adapted bases* whose transformation rules to be more simple (tensorial ones, for instance).

Let $u^* = \left(t^a, x^i, p^a_i\right) \in E^*$ be an arbitrary point and let us consider the differential map

$$\pi^*{}_{*,u^*} : T_{u^*}E^* \to T_{(t,x)}\left(\mathcal{T} \times M\right)$$

of the canonical projection $\pi^* : E^* \to \mathcal{T} \times M$, $\pi^*\left(u^*\right) = (t, x)$, together with its vector subspace $W_{u^*} = Ker\ \pi^*{}_{*,u^*} \subset T_{u^*}E^*$. Because the differential map $\pi^*{}_{*,u^*}$ is a surjection, we find that we have $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} W_{u^*} = mn$ and, moreover, a basis in W_{u^*} is determined by $\left\{\dfrac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i}\right\}$.

So, the map

$$\mathcal{W} : u^* \in E^* \to W_{u^*} \subset T_{u^*}E^*$$

is a differential distribution which is called the *vertical distribution* on the dual 1-jet vector bundle E^*.

Definition 3.1 *A differential distribution $\mathcal{H} : u^* \in E^* \to H_{u^*} \subset T_{u^*}E^*$, which is supplementary to the vertical distribution $\mathcal{W}$, i. e.*

$$T_{u^*}E^* = H_{u^*} \oplus W_{u^*},\ \forall\ u^* \in E^*, \tag{3.1}$$

is called a ***nonlinear connection*** *on E^* ($\mathcal{H}$ is also called the* ***horizontal distribution*** *on E^*).*

The above definition implies that $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H_{u^*} = m + n$, $\forall\ u^* \in E^*$, and that the Lie algebra of the vector fields $\chi\left(E^*\right)$ can be decomposed in the direct sum $\chi\left(E^*\right) = \mathcal{S}\left(\mathcal{H}\right) \oplus \mathcal{S}\left(\mathcal{W}\right)$, where $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathcal{H}\right)$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathcal{W}\right)$) is the set of differentiable sections on $\mathcal{H}$ (resp. $\mathcal{W}$).

Supposing that $\mathcal{H}$ is a fixed nonlinear connection on E^*, we have the isomorphism

$$\pi^*{}_{*,u^*}\big|_{H_{u^*}} : H_{u^*} \to T_{\pi^*(u^*)}\left(\mathcal{T} \times M\right),$$

which allows us to prove the following result:

Theorem 3.2 *i) There exist the unique, linear independent, horizontal vector fields $\dfrac{\delta}{\delta t^a}, \dfrac{\delta}{\delta x^i} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathcal{H}\right)$, having the properties:*

$$\pi^*{}_*\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t^a}, \quad \pi^*{}_*\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}. \tag{3.2}$$

ii) The horizontal vector fields $\dfrac{\delta}{\delta t^a}$ and $\dfrac{\delta}{\delta x^i}$ can be uniquely written in the form:

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t^a} - \underset{1}{N}{}^{(b)}_{(j)a}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^b_j}, \quad \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \underset{2}{N}{}^{(b)}_{(j)i}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^b_j}. \tag{3.3}$$

iii) With respect to a transformation of coordinates (2.2) on E^*, the coefficients $\underset{1}{N}{}^{(b)}_{(j)a}$ and $\underset{2}{N}{}^{(b)}_{(j)i}$ obey the rules

$$\begin{aligned}\underset{1}{\tilde{N}}{}^{(b)}_{(j)c}\frac{\partial\tilde{t}^c}{\partial t^a} &= \underset{1}{N}{}^{(c)}_{(k)a}\frac{\partial\tilde{t}^b}{\partial t^c}\frac{\partial x^k}{\partial\tilde{x}^j}-\frac{\partial\tilde{p}^b_j}{\partial t^a},\\ \underset{2}{\tilde{N}}{}^{(b)}_{(j)k}\frac{\partial\tilde{x}^k}{\partial x^i} &= \underset{2}{N}{}^{(c)}_{(k)i}\frac{\partial\tilde{t}^b}{\partial t^c}\frac{\partial x^k}{\partial\tilde{x}^j}-\frac{\partial\tilde{p}^b_j}{\partial x^i}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.4}$$

iv) To give a nonlinear connection $\mathcal{H}$ on E^* is equivalent to give a set of local functions $N=\left(\underset{1}{N}{}^{(b)}_{(j)a},\ \underset{2}{N}{}^{(b)}_{(j)i}\right)$ which transform by the rules (3.4).

Proof. Let $\dfrac{\delta}{\delta t^a},\ \dfrac{\delta}{\delta x^i}\in\chi(E^*)$ be vector fields on E^*, locally expressed by

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a} &= A^b_a\frac{\partial}{\partial t^b}+A^j_a\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}+A^{(b)}_{(j)a}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^b_j},\\ \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} &= X^b_i\frac{\partial}{\partial t^b}+X^j_i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}+X^{(b)}_{(j)i}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^b_j},\end{aligned}$$

which verify the relations (3.2). Then, taking into account the local expression of the map $\pi^*{}_*$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}A^b_a &= \delta^b_a,\ A^j_a=0,\ A^{(b)}_{(j)a}=-\underset{1}{N}{}^{(b)}_{(j)a},\\ X^b_i &= 0,\ X^j_i=\delta^j_i,\ X^{(b)}_{(j)i}=-\underset{2}{N}{}^{(b)}_{(j)i}.\end{aligned}$$

These equalities prove the form (3.3) of the vector fields from Theorem 3.2, together with their linear independence. The uniqueness of the coefficients $\underset{1}{N}{}^{(b)}_{(j)a}$ and $\underset{2}{N}{}^{(b)}_{(j)i}$ is obvious.

Because the vector fields $\dfrac{\delta}{\delta t^a}$ and $\dfrac{\delta}{\delta x^i}$ are globally defined, we deduce that a change of coordinates (2.2) on E^* produces a transformation of the coefficients $\underset{1}{N}{}^{(b)}_{(j)a}$ and $\underset{2}{N}{}^{(b)}_{(j)i}$ by the rules (3.4).

Finally, starting with a set of functions $N=\left(\underset{1}{N}{}^{(b)}_{(j)a},\ \underset{2}{N}{}^{(b)}_{(j)i}\right)$ which respect the rules (3.4), we can construct the horizontal distribution $\mathcal{H}$ taking

$$H_{u^*}=Span\left\{\left.\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a}\right|_{u^*},\left.\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}\right|_{u^*}\right\}.$$

The decomposition $T_{u^*}E^*=H_{u^*}\oplus W_{u^*}$ is obvious now. ■

Definition 3.3 *i) The geometrical entity* $\underset{1}{N} = \left(\underset{1}{N}{}^{(b)}_{(j)a}\right)$ *(resp.* $\underset{2}{N} = \left(\underset{2}{N}{}^{(b)}_{(j)i}\right)$*) is called a* ***temporal*** *(resp.* ***spatial****)* ***nonlinear connection*** *on* E^*.

ii) The set of the linear independent vector fields

$$\left\{\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i}\right\} \subset \chi\left(E^*\right) \tag{3.5}$$

is called the ***adapted basis of vector fields attached to the nonlinear connection*** $N = \left(\underset{1}{N}, \underset{2}{N}\right)$.

Example 3.4 *Let us consider that* $h_{ab}(t)$ *(resp.* $\varphi_{ij}(x)$*) is a semi-Riemannian metric on* $\mathcal{T}$ *(resp.* M*) and let* $\varkappa^a_{bc}(t)$ *(resp.* $\gamma^i_{jk}(x)$*) be its Christoffel symbols. Setting*

$$\overset{0}{\underset{1}{N}}{}^{(b)}_{(j)c} = \varkappa^b_{ac} p^a_j, \quad \overset{0}{\underset{2}{N}}{}^{(b)}_{(j)k} = -\gamma^i_{jk} p^b_i, \tag{3.6}$$

we obtain that

$$\overset{0}{N} = \left(\overset{0}{\underset{1}{N}}{}^{(b)}_{(j)c}, \ \overset{0}{\underset{2}{N}}{}^{(b)}_{(j)k}\right)$$

is a nonlinear connection on $E^* = J^{1*}\left(\mathcal{T}, M\right)$*, which is called the* ***canonical nonlinear connection on*** E^* ***attached to the pair of semi-Riemannian metrics*** $h_{ab}(t)$ ***and*** $\varphi_{ij}(x)$.

With respect to the coordinate transformations (2.2) the adapted basis (3.5) has its transformation laws extremely simple, namely (tensorial ones)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta}{\delta t^a} &= \frac{\partial \tilde{t}^b}{\partial t^a} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{t}^b}, \\ \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} &= \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^j}{\partial x^i} \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{x}^j}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i} &= \frac{\partial \tilde{t}^b}{\partial t^a} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \tilde{x}^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{p}^b_j}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

in contrast with the transformations (2.3).

The dual basis of the adapted basis (3.5) is given by

$$\left\{dt^a, dx^i, \delta p^a_i\right\} \subset \chi^*\left(E^*\right) \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$\delta p^a_i = dp^a_i + \underset{1}{N}{}^{(a)}_{(i)b} dt^b + \underset{2}{N}{}^{(a)}_{(i)j} dx^j. \tag{3.9}$$

Definition 3.5 *The dual basis of covector fields given by (3.8) and (3.9) is called the* ***adapted cobasis of covector fields attached to the nonlinear connection*** $N = \left(\underset{1}{N}, \underset{2}{N}\right)$.

It is obvious that we always have

$$\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a} \rfloor dt^b = \delta_a^b, & \frac{\delta}{\delta t^a} \rfloor dx^j = 0, & \frac{\delta}{\delta t^a} \rfloor \delta p_j^b = 0, \\
\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} \rfloor dt^b = 0, & \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} \rfloor dx^j = \delta_i^j, & \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} \rfloor \delta p_j^b = 0, \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i^a} \rfloor dt^b = 0, & \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i^a} \rfloor dx^j = 0, & \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i^a} \rfloor \delta p_j^b = \delta_a^b \delta_j^i.
\end{array}$$

Moreover, with respect to (2.2), we obtain the following tensorial transformation rules:

$$\begin{aligned}
dt^a &= \frac{\partial t^a}{\partial \tilde{t}^b} d\tilde{t}^b, \\
dx^i &= \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \tilde{x}^j} d\tilde{x}^j, \\
\delta p_i^a &= \frac{\partial t^a}{\partial \tilde{t}^b} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^j}{\partial x^i} \delta \tilde{p}_j^b.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

As a consequence of the preceding assertions, we find the following simple result:

Proposition 3.6 *i) The Lie algebra* $\chi(E^*)$ *of the vector fields on* E^* *decomposes in the direct sum*

$$\chi(E^*) = \chi(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{T}) \oplus \chi(\mathcal{H}_M) \oplus \chi(\mathcal{W}),$$

where

$$\chi(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{T}) = Span\left\{\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a}\right\},\ \chi(\mathcal{H}_M) = Span\left\{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}\right\},\ \chi(\mathcal{W}) = Span\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i^a}\right\}.$$

ii) The Lie algebra $\chi^*(E^*)$ *of the covector fields on* E^* *decomposes in the direct sum*

$$\chi^*(E^*) = \chi^*(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{T}) \oplus \chi^*(\mathcal{H}_M) \oplus \chi^*(\mathcal{W}),$$

where

$$\chi^*(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{T}) = Span\left\{dt^a\right\},\ \chi^*(\mathcal{H}_M) = Span\left\{dx^i\right\},\ \chi^*(\mathcal{W}) = Span\left\{\delta p_i^a\right\}.$$

Definition 3.7 *The distribution* $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{T}$ *(resp.* $\mathcal{H}_M$*) is called the* ***$\mathcal{T}$-horizontal distribution*** *(resp.* ***M-horizontal distribution****) on* E^**.*

Let $h_\mathcal{T}$, h_M and w be the $\mathcal{T}$- horizontal, M-horizontal and vertical, respectively, canonical projections associated to the decomposition of vector fields on E^*. Then, it is obvious that we have the relations:

$$\begin{gathered}
h_\mathcal{T} + h_M + w = I,\ h_\mathcal{T}^2 = h_\mathcal{T},\ h_M^2 = h_M,\ w^2 = w, \\
h_\mathcal{T} \circ h_M = h_M \circ h_\mathcal{T} = 0,\ h_\mathcal{T} \circ w = w \circ h_\mathcal{T} = 0, \\
h_M \circ w = w \circ h_M = 0.
\end{gathered} \tag{3.11}$$

Starting with a vector field $X \in \chi(E^*)$, we denote

$$X^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}} = h_{\mathcal{T}}X,\ X^{\mathcal{H}_M} = h_M X,\ X^{\mathcal{W}} = wX.$$

Therefore, we have the unique decomposition

$$X = X^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}} + X^{\mathcal{H}_M} + X^{\mathcal{W}}, \tag{3.12}$$

where, using the adapted basis (3.5), we get

$$X^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}} = X^a \frac{\delta}{\delta t^a},\ X^{\mathcal{H}_M} = X^i \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i},\ X^{\mathcal{W}} = X^{(a)}_{(i)} \frac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i}.$$

By means of (3.7), we deduce that

$$\tilde{X}^a = \frac{\partial \tilde{t}^a}{\partial t^b} X^b,\ \tilde{X}^i = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^i}{\partial x^j} X^j,\ \tilde{X}^{(a)}_{(i)} = \frac{\partial \tilde{t}^a}{\partial t^b} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial \tilde{x}^i} X^{(b)}_{(j)}.$$

Now, we can give the following important results:

Proposition 3.8 *The horizontal distribution $\mathcal{H}$ is integrable if and only if for any vector fields $X, Y \in \chi(E^*)$ we have*

$$\left[X^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}}, Y^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}}\right]^{\mathcal{W}} = 0,\ \left[X^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}}, Y^{\mathcal{H}_M}\right]^{\mathcal{W}} = 0,\ \left[X^{\mathcal{H}_M}, Y^{\mathcal{H}_M}\right]^{\mathcal{W}} = 0.$$

Proof. Indeed, the Poisson bracket between two $\mathcal{T}$-horizontal vector fields $X^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}}$, $Y^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}}$ (resp. M-horizontal vector fields $X^{\mathcal{H}_M}$, $Y^{\mathcal{H}_M}$) or between a $\mathcal{T}$-horizontal vector field $X^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}}$ and an M-horizontal vector field $Y^{\mathcal{H}_M}$ belongs to the horizontal distribution $\mathcal{H}$ if and only if the preceding three equations hold good. ■

Proposition 3.9 *The vertical distribution $\mathcal{W}$ is always integrable.*

A similar theory can be done for 1-forms. With respect to the decomposition of covector fields on E^*, any 1-form $\omega \in \chi^*(E^*)$ can be uniquely written in the form

$$\omega = \omega^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}} + \omega^{\mathcal{H}_M} + \omega^{\mathcal{W}}, \tag{3.13}$$

where

$$\omega^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}} = \omega \circ h_{\mathcal{T}},\ \omega^{\mathcal{H}_M} = \omega \circ h_M,\ \omega^{\mathcal{W}} = \omega \circ w.$$

In the adapted cobasis (3.8), we have in fact

$$\omega = \omega_a dt^a + \omega_i dx^i + \omega^{(i)}_{(a)} \delta p^a_i.$$

The components ω_a, ω_i, $\omega^{(i)}_{(a)}$ are transformed by (2.2) as follows:

$$\omega_a = \frac{\partial \tilde{t}^b}{\partial t^a} \tilde{\omega}_b,\ \omega_i = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^j}{\partial x^i} \tilde{\omega}_j,\ \omega^{(i)}_{(a)} = \frac{\partial \tilde{t}^b}{\partial t^a} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \tilde{x}^j} \omega^{(j)}_{(b)}.$$

4 The algebra of distinguished tensor fields

In the study of differential geometry of the dual vector bundle of 1-jets $E^* = J^{1*}(\mathcal{T}, M)$, a central role is played by the *distinguished tensors* or, briefly, *d-tensors.*

Definition 4.1 *A geometrical object* $T = \left(T^{ai(k)(d)...}_{bj(c)(l)...}\right)$ *on* E^* *which, with respect to a transformation of coordinates (2.2) on* E^**, verifies the transformation rules*

$$T^{ai(k)(d)...}_{bj(c)(l)...} = \tilde{T}^{ep(r)(h)...}_{fq(g)(s)...} \frac{\partial t^a}{\partial \tilde{t}^e} \frac{\partial \tilde{t}^f}{\partial t^b} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \tilde{x}^p} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^q}{\partial x^j} \left(\frac{\partial x^k}{\partial \tilde{x}^r} \frac{\partial \tilde{t}^g}{\partial t^c} \right) \left(\frac{\partial t^d}{\partial \tilde{t}^h} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^s}{\partial x^l} \right) ...$$

is called a ***distinguished tensor field*** *or a* ***d-tensor****.*

Remark 4.2 *It is obvious that the vector fields* $\delta/\delta t^a$, $\delta/\delta x^i$, $\partial/\partial p^a_i$ *of the adapted basis are d-vector fields on* E^**, as the adapted components* X^a, X^i, $X^{(a)}_{(i)}$ *of a vector field* $X \in \chi(E^*)$*. Also, the covector fields* dt^a, dx^i, δp^a_i *of the adapted cobasis are d-covector fields on* E^**, as the adapted components* ω_a, ω_i, $\omega^{(i)}_{(a)}$ *of an 1-form* $\omega \in \chi^*(E^*)$*. It follows that the set*

$$\left\{ 1, \frac{\delta}{\delta t^a}, \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i}, dt^a, dx^i, \delta p^a_i \right\}$$

generates the algebra of the d-tensor fields over the ring of functions $\mathcal{F}(E^*)$*.*

Example 4.3 *i) If* $H : E^* \to \mathbb{R}$ *is a Hamiltonian function depending on the polymomenta* p^a_i*, then the local components*

$$G^{(i)(j)}_{(a)(b)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial p^a_i \partial p^b_j},$$

represent a d-tensor field $\mathbb{G} = \left(G^{(i)(j)}_{(a)(b)}\right)$*. If* $\mathcal{T} = \mathbb{R}$ *and* H *is a regular Hamiltonian function, then the d-tensor field* $\mathbb{G}$ *can be regarded as the fundamental metrical d-tensor* $g^{ij}(t, x, p)$ *from the rheonomic Hamilton geometry.*

ii) Let us consider the d-tensor field $\mathbb{C}^* = \left(\mathbb{C}^{(a)}_{(i)}\right)$*, where* $\mathbb{C}^{(a)}_{(i)} = p^a_i$*. Particularly, for* $\mathcal{T} = \mathbb{R}$*, this d-tensor can be regarded as the classical Liouville-Hamilton vector field*

$$\mathbb{C}^* = p_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$$

on the cotangent bundle T^*M*, which is used in the Hamilton geometry [17]. Consequently, our d-tensor field* $\mathbb{C}^*$ *on* E^* *is called* ***the Liouville-Hamilton d-tensor field of polymomenta****.*

iii) Let $\varphi_{ij}(x)$ *be a semi-Riemannian metric on the spatial manifold* M*. The geometrical object* $\mathbb{H} = \left(H^{(a)}_{(i)jk}\right)$*, where* $H^{(a)}_{(i)jk} = \varphi_{ij} p^a_k$*, is a d-tensor field on*

E^*, which is called ***the polymomentum Hamilton d-tensor attached to the semi-Riemannian metric*** *$\varphi_{ij}(x)$.*

iv) Let $h_{ab}(t)$ be a semi-Riemannian metric on the temporal manifold $\mathcal{T}$. We can construct the d-tensor field $\mathbb{J} = \left(J^{(i)}_{(a)bj}\right)$, where

$$J^{(i)}_{(a)bj} = h_{ab}\delta^i_j.$$

The d-tensor field $\mathbb{J}$ is called the ***d-tensor of*** ***h-normalization*** *on the dual 1-jet vector bundle E^*.*

5 Poisson brackets. The almost product structure $\mathbb{P}$

In applications, the Poisson brackets of the d-vector fields $\left\{\dfrac{\delta}{\delta t^a}, \dfrac{\delta}{\delta x^i}, \dfrac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i}\right\}$ from the adapted basis are very important. By a direct calculation, we obtain

Proposition 5.1 *The Poisson brackets of the d-vector fields of the adapted basis (3.5) are given by*

$$\begin{array}{ll}\left[\dfrac{\delta}{\delta t^b}, \dfrac{\delta}{\delta t^c}\right] = R^{(a)}_{(i)bc}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i}, & \left[\dfrac{\delta}{\delta t^b}, \dfrac{\delta}{\delta x^k}\right] = R^{(a)}_{(i)bk}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i}, \\ \left[\dfrac{\delta}{\delta t^b}, \dfrac{\partial}{\partial p^c_k}\right] = B^{(a)\ (k)}_{(i)b(c)}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i}, & \left[\dfrac{\delta}{\delta x^j}, \dfrac{\delta}{\delta x^k}\right] = R^{(a)}_{(i)jk}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i}, \\ \left[\dfrac{\delta}{\delta x^j}, \dfrac{\partial}{\partial p^c_k}\right] = B^{(a)\ (k)}_{(i)j(c)}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i}, & \left[\dfrac{\partial}{\partial p^b_j}, \dfrac{\partial}{\partial p^c_k}\right] = 0,\end{array} \tag{5.1}$$

where, if $\underset{1}{N}{}^{(a)}_{(i)b}$ and $\underset{2}{N}{}^{(a)}_{(i)j}$ are the local coefficients of the given nonlinear connection N, then

$$\begin{array}{l} R^{(a)}_{(i)bc} = \dfrac{\delta \underset{1}{N}{}^{(a)}_{(i)b}}{\delta t^c} - \dfrac{\delta \underset{1}{N}{}^{(a)}_{(i)c}}{\delta t^b}, \\ R^{(a)}_{(i)bk} = \dfrac{\delta \underset{1}{N}{}^{(a)}_{(i)b}}{\delta x^k} - \dfrac{\delta \underset{2}{N}{}^{(a)}_{(i)k}}{\delta t^b}, \\ R^{(a)}_{(i)jk} = \dfrac{\delta \underset{2}{N}{}^{(a)}_{(i)j}}{\delta x^k} - \dfrac{\delta \underset{2}{N}{}^{(a)}_{(i)k}}{\delta x^j}, \\ B^{(a)\ (k)}_{(i)b(c)} = \dfrac{\partial \underset{1}{N}{}^{(a)}_{(i)b}}{\partial p^c_k}, \quad B^{(a)\ (k)}_{(i)j(c)} = \dfrac{\partial \underset{2}{N}{}^{(a)}_{(i)j}}{\partial p^c_k}. \end{array} \tag{5.2}$$

Using the relations (5.1) and the Proposition 3.8, we get

Proposition 5.2 *The horizontal distribution $\mathcal{H}$ is integrable if and only if the following d-tensor fields vanish:*

$$R^{(a)}_{(i)bc} = 0,\ R^{(a)}_{(i)bk} = 0,\ R^{(a)}_{(i)jk} = 0. \tag{5.3}$$

Now, assuming that a nonlinear connection N is given, we can define an $\mathcal{F}(E^*)$-linear mapping $\mathbb{P} : \chi(E^*) \to \chi(E^*)$, putting

$$\mathbb{P}\left(X^{\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{T}}\right) = X^{\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{T}},\ \mathbb{P}\left(X^{\mathcal{H}_M}\right) = X^{\mathcal{H}_M},\ \mathbb{P}\left(X^{\mathcal{W}}\right) = -X^{\mathcal{W}},\ \forall\ X \in \chi(E^*). \tag{5.4}$$

Thus $\mathbb{P}$ has the properties:

$$\mathbb{P} \circ \mathbb{P} = I, \quad \mathbb{P} = 2\left(h_\mathcal{T} + h_M\right) - I = I - 2w, \quad \operatorname{rank} \mathbb{P} = m + n + mn. \tag{5.5}$$

Obviously, we have

Theorem 5.3 *A nonlinear connection N on E^* is characterized by the existence of the* ***almost product structure*** *$\mathbb{P}$ on E^*, whose eigenspace corresponding to the eingenvalue -1 coincides with the linear space of the vertical distribution $\mathcal{W}$ on E^*.*

Moreover, taking into account that the Nijenhuis tensor of the almost produs structure $\mathbb{P}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{N}_\mathbb{P}(X, Y) = \mathbb{P}^2 [X, Y] + [\mathbb{P}X, \mathbb{P}Y] - \mathbb{P}[\mathbb{P}X, Y] - \mathbb{P}[X, \mathbb{P}Y],$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{N}_\mathbb{P}\left(X^{\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{T}}, Y^{\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{T}}\right) = 4w\left[X^{\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{T}}, Y^{\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{T}}\right], && \mathcal{N}_\mathbb{P}\left(X^{\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{T}}, Y^{\mathcal{H}_M}\right) = 4w\left[X^{\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{T}}, Y^{\mathcal{H}_M}\right],\\
&\mathcal{N}_\mathbb{P}\left(X^{\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{T}}, Y^{\mathcal{W}}\right) = 0, && \mathcal{N}_\mathbb{P}\left(X^{\mathcal{H}_M}, Y^{\mathcal{H}_M}\right) = 4w\left[X^{\mathcal{H}_M}, Y^{\mathcal{H}_M}\right],\\
&\mathcal{N}_\mathbb{P}\left(X^{\mathcal{H}_M}, Y^{\mathcal{W}}\right) = 0, && \mathcal{N}_\mathbb{P}\left(X^{\mathcal{W}}, Y^{\mathcal{W}}\right) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can formulate

Proposition 5.4 *The almost product structure $\mathbb{P}$ is integrable if and only if the horizontal distribution $\mathcal{H}$ is integrable.*

6 N-linear connections

A linear connection on $E^* = J^{1*}(\mathcal{T}, M)$ is an application

$$D : \chi(E^*) \times \chi(E^*) \to \chi(E^*), \quad (X, Y) \to D_X Y,$$

having, for all X, X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2, $Y \in \chi(E^*)$, $f \in \mathcal{F}(E^*)$, the properties:

1. $D_{X_1+X_2}Y = D_{X_1}Y + D_{X_2}Y,$
2. $D_{fX}Y = fD_XY,$
3. $D_X\left(Y_1+Y_2\right) = D_XY_1 + D_XY_2,$
4. $D_X\left(fY\right) = X(f)Y + fD_XY.$

With respect to the decompositions of type (3.12), we have

$$D_XY = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{2}\left(D_{X^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}}}Y^{\mathcal{W}_\alpha} + D_{X^{\mathcal{H}_M}}Y^{\mathcal{W}_\alpha} + D_{X^{\mathcal{W}}}Y^{\mathcal{W}_\alpha}\right), \tag{6.1}$$

where $\mathcal{W}_1 = \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$, $\mathcal{W}_2 = \mathcal{H}_M$ and $\mathcal{W}_0 = \mathcal{W}$. Remark that the entities $D_{X^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}}}Y^{\mathcal{W}_\alpha}$, $D_{X^{\mathcal{H}_M}}Y^{\mathcal{W}_\alpha}$, $D_{X^{\mathcal{W}}}Y^{\mathcal{W}_\alpha}$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 0$, are vector fields, not necessarily distinguished.

Obviously, the linear connection D on E^* can be uniquely determined by **27** local coefficients, which are written in the adapted basis (3.5) in the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta t^c}}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^b} &= A^a_{bc}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a} + A^i_{bc}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} + A^{(a)}_{(i)bc}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i},\\
D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta t^c}}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^j} &= A^a_{jc}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a} + A^i_{jc}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} + A^{(a)}_{(i)jc}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i},\\
-D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta t^c}}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^b_j} &= A^{a(j)}_{\ \ (b)c}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a} + A^{i(j)}_{\ \ (b)c}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} + A^{(a)(j)}_{(i)(b)c}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k}}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^b} &= H^a_{bk}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a} + H^i_{bk}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} + H^{(a)}_{(i)bk}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i},\\
D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k}}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^j} &= H^a_{jk}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a} + H^i_{jk}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} + H^{(a)}_{(i)jk}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i},\\
-D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k}}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^b_j} &= H^{a(j)}_{\ \ (b)k}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a} + H^{i(j)}_{\ \ (b)k}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} + H^{(a)(j)}_{(i)(b)k}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial p^c_k}}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^b} &= C^{a(k)}_{b(c)}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a} + C^{i(k)}_{b(c)}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} + C^{(a)\ (k)}_{(i)b(c)}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i},\\
D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial p^c_k}}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^j} &= C^{a(k)}_{j(c)}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a} + C^{i(k)}_{j(c)}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} + C^{(a)\ (k)}_{(i)j(c)}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i},\\
-D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial p^c_k}}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^b_j} &= C^{a(j)(k)}_{\ \ (b)(c)}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a} + C^{i(j)(k)}_{\ \ (b)(c)}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} + C^{(a)(j)(k)}_{(i)(b)(c)}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

To work with these 27 adapted coefficients is not imposible, but is laborious. We construct in the sequel linear connections whose coefficients are much easy to be used. In this direction, let us consider a nonlinear connection N on E^*.

Definition 6.1 *A linear connection D on E^* is called an N-**linear connection** if it preserves by parallelism the $\mathcal{T}$-horizontal, M-horizontal and vertical distributions $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{H}_M$ and $\mathcal{W}$ on E^*.*

In other words, a linear connection is an N-linear connection if and only if, for any vector field $X \in \chi(E^*)$, D_X carries the $\mathcal{T}$-horizontal vector fields into $\mathcal{T}$-horizontal vector fields, the M-horizontal vector fields into M-horizontal vector fields and the vertical vector fields into vertical vector fields. Thus, we have $D_X Y^{\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{T}} \in \chi(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{T})$, $D_X Y^{\mathcal{H}_M} \in \chi(\mathcal{H}_M)$ and $D_X Y^{\mathcal{W}} \in \chi(\mathcal{W})$, which can be written in the form

$$D_X(h_\mathcal{T} Y) = h_\mathcal{T} D_X Y,\ D_X(h_M Y) = h_M D_X Y,\ D_X(wY) = wD_X Y. \tag{6.5}$$

Consequently, we obtain

Theorem 6.2 *A linear connection D is an N-linear connection if and only if, for any vector field $X \in \chi(E^*)$, we have*

$$D_X h_\mathcal{T} = 0,\ D_X h_M = 0,\ D_X w = 0. \tag{6.6}$$

Corollary 6.3 *For any N-linear connection D we have*

$$D_X \mathbb{P} = 0,\ \forall\ X \in \chi(E^*). \tag{6.7}$$

Moreover, we also have

Theorem 6.4 *A linear connection D on E^* is an N-linear connection if and only if*

$$\left(D_X Y^{\mathcal{W}_\beta}\right)^{\mathcal{W}} = 0, \quad \left(D_X Y^{\mathcal{W}}\right)^{\mathcal{W}_\beta} = 0,$$
$$\left(D_X Y^{\mathcal{W}_1}\right)^{\mathcal{W}_2} = 0, \quad \left(D_X Y^{\mathcal{W}_2}\right)^{\mathcal{W}_1} = 0,$$

where $\beta = 1, 2$ and $\mathcal{W}_1 = \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{T}$, $\mathcal{W}_2 = \mathcal{H}_M$.

Consequently, using the adapted basis of vector fields on E^*, given by (3.5), and the above results, we prove without difficulties

Theorem 6.5 *An N-linear connection can be uniquely written in the adapted basis of vector fields on E^* with **9** adapted coefficients given by the relations:*

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta t^c}} \frac{\delta}{\delta t^b} &= A^a_{bc} \frac{\delta}{\delta t^a},\ D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta t^c}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j} = A^i_{jc} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i},\ D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta t^c}} \frac{\partial}{\partial p^b_j} = -A^{(a)(j)}_{(i)(b)c} \frac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i},\\
D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k}} \frac{\delta}{\delta t^b} &= H^a_{bk} \frac{\delta}{\delta t^a},\ D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j} = H^i_{jk} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i},\ D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial p^b_j} = -H^{(a)(j)}_{(i)(b)k} \frac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i},\\
D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial p^c_k}} \frac{\delta}{\delta t^b} &= C^{a(k)}_{b(c)} \frac{\delta}{\delta t^a},\ D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial p^c_k}} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^j} = C^{i(k)}_{j(c)} \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i},\ D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial p^c_k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial p^b_j} = -C^{(a)(j)(k)}_{(i)(b)(c)} \frac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i}.
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 6.6 *The local functions*

$$D\Gamma(N) = \left(A^a_{bc}, A^i_{jc}, A^{(a)(j)}_{(i)(b)c}, H^a_{bk}, H^i_{jk}, H^{(a)(j)}_{(i)(b)k}, C^{a(k)}_{b(c)}, C^{i(k)}_{j(c)}, C^{(a)(j)(k)}_{(i)(b)(c)}\right) \quad (6.8)$$

are called the ***adapted coefficients*** *of the N-linear connection D on E^*.*

Taking into acount the transformation laws (3.7) of the d-vector fields of the adapted basis (3.5), by a straightforward calculation, we obtain

Theorem 6.7 *i) With respect to the coordinate transformations (2.2) on E^*, the adapted coefficients of the N-linear connection $D\Gamma(N)$ obey the following rules of transformations:*

$$(h_{\mathcal{T}})\ \begin{cases} A^d_{bc} = \tilde{A}^a_{fg}\dfrac{\partial\tilde{t}^f}{\partial t^b}\dfrac{\partial\tilde{t}^g}{\partial t^c}\dfrac{\partial t^d}{\partial\tilde{t}^a} + \dfrac{\partial t^d}{\partial\tilde{t}^a}\dfrac{\partial^2\tilde{t}^a}{\partial t^b\partial t^c}, \\ A^i_{jc} = \tilde{A}^k_{ld}\dfrac{\partial x^i}{\partial\tilde{x}^k}\dfrac{\partial\tilde{x}^l}{\partial x^j}\dfrac{\partial\tilde{t}^d}{\partial t^c}, \\ A^{(a)(j)}_{(i)(b)c} = \tilde{A}^{(d)(l)}_{(k)(f)g}\dfrac{\partial t^a}{\partial\tilde{t}^d}\dfrac{\partial\tilde{x}^k}{\partial x^i}\dfrac{\partial x^j}{\partial\tilde{x}^l}\dfrac{\partial\tilde{t}^f}{\partial t^b}\dfrac{\partial\tilde{t}^g}{\partial t^c} - \delta^j_i\dfrac{\partial t^a}{\partial\tilde{t}^d}\dfrac{\partial^2\tilde{t}^d}{\partial t^b\partial t^c}, \end{cases}$$

$$(h_M)\ \begin{cases} H^a_{bk} = \tilde{H}^c_{dl}\dfrac{\partial t^a}{\partial\tilde{t}^c}\dfrac{\partial\tilde{t}^d}{\partial t^b}\dfrac{\partial\tilde{x}^l}{\partial x^k}, \\ H^l_{jk} = \tilde{H}^i_{rs}\dfrac{\partial\tilde{x}^r}{\partial x^j}\dfrac{\partial\tilde{x}^s}{\partial x^k}\dfrac{\partial x^l}{\partial\tilde{x}^i} + \dfrac{\partial x^l}{\partial\tilde{x}^i}\dfrac{\partial^2\tilde{x}^i}{\partial x^j\partial x^k}, \\ H^{(a)(j)}_{(i)(b)k} = \tilde{H}^{(f)(l)}_{(r)(g)s}\dfrac{\partial t^a}{\partial\tilde{t}^f}\dfrac{\partial\tilde{x}^r}{\partial x^i}\dfrac{\partial x^j}{\partial\tilde{x}^l}\dfrac{\partial\tilde{t}^g}{\partial t^b}\dfrac{\partial\tilde{x}^s}{\partial x^k} - \delta^a_b\dfrac{\partial\tilde{x}^r}{\partial x^i}\dfrac{\partial\tilde{x}^s}{\partial x^k}\dfrac{\partial^2 x^j}{\partial\tilde{x}^r\partial\tilde{x}^s}, \end{cases}$$

$$(\ w\ )\ \begin{cases} C^{a(k)}_{b(c)} = \tilde{C}^{d(r)}_{f(g)}\dfrac{\partial t^a}{\partial\tilde{t}^d}\dfrac{\partial\tilde{t}^f}{\partial t^b}\dfrac{\partial x^k}{\partial\tilde{x}^r}\dfrac{\partial\tilde{t}^g}{\partial t^c}, \\ C^{i(k)}_{j(c)} = \tilde{C}^{r(s)}_{l(f)}\dfrac{\partial x^i}{\partial\tilde{x}^r}\dfrac{\partial\tilde{x}^l}{\partial x^j}\dfrac{\partial\tilde{t}^f}{\partial t^c}\dfrac{\partial x^k}{\partial\tilde{x}^s}, \\ C^{(a)(j)(k)}_{(i)(b)(c)} = \tilde{C}^{(d)(r)(s)}_{(l)(f)(g)}\dfrac{\partial t^a}{\partial\tilde{t}^d}\dfrac{\partial\tilde{x}^l}{\partial x^i}\dfrac{\partial x^j}{\partial\tilde{x}^r}\dfrac{\partial\tilde{t}^f}{\partial t^b}\dfrac{\partial x^k}{\partial\tilde{x}^s}\dfrac{\partial\tilde{t}^g}{\partial t^c}. \end{cases}$$

ii) Conversely, to give an N-linear connection D on E^* is equivalent to give a set of nine local coefficients $D\Gamma(N)$ as in (6.8), which transform by the rules described in i).

The following result proves the existence of the N-linear connections on E^*.

Theorem 6.8 *If the manifolds $\mathcal{T}$ and M are paracompacts, then there exists an N-linear connection on E^*.*

Proof. Because the manifold $\mathcal{T}$ (resp. M) is paracompact, there exists a linear connection on $\mathcal{T}$ (resp. M), whose local coefficients we denote by $\chi^a_{bc}(t)$ (resp. $\Gamma^i_{jk}(x)$). Let us consider the local coefficients

$$\underset{1}{\overset{B}{N}}{}^{(a)}_{(i)b} = \chi^a_{cb} p^c_i, \ \underset{2}{\overset{B}{N}}{}^{(b)}_{(j)k} = -\Gamma^i_{jk} p^b_i, \tag{6.9}$$

which define a nonlinear connection $\overset{B}{N}$ on E^*. We set

$$B\Gamma\left(\overset{B}{N}\right) = \left(\chi^a_{bc}, 0, A^{(a)(j)}_{(i)(b)c}, 0, \Gamma^i_{jk}, H^{(a)(j)}_{(i)(b)k}, 0, 0, 0\right) \tag{6.10}$$

where

$$A^{(a)(j)}_{(i)(b)c} = -\delta^j_i \chi^a_{bc}, \ H^{(a)(j)}_{(i)(b)k} = \delta^a_b \Gamma^j_{ik}. \tag{6.11}$$

Then, $B\Gamma\left(\overset{B}{N}\right)$ defines an N-linear connection on E^*. ■

Definition 6.9 *i) The N-linear connection $B\Gamma\left(\overset{B}{N}\right)$ on E^*, which is given by the relations (6.9), (6.10) and (6.11), is called the* ***canonical Berwald connection attached to the linear connections*** *$\chi^a_{bc}(t)$ and $\Gamma^i_{jk}(x)$.*

ii) If we have $\overset{B}{N} = \overset{0}{N}$, where $\overset{0}{N}$ is given by (3.6), then $B\Gamma\left(\overset{0}{N}\right)$ is called the ***canonical Berwald connection attached to the semi-Riemannian metrics*** *$h_{ab}(t)$* ***and*** *$\varphi_{ij}(x)$.*

Now, let us consider that D is a fixed N-linear connection on E^*, defined by the adapted local coefficients (6.8). The linear connection $D\Gamma(N)$ naturally induces derivations on the set of the d-tensor fields on the dual 1-jet bundle E^*, in the following way. Starting from a d-vector field $X \in \chi(E^*)$ and a d-tensor field T on E^*, locally expressed by

$$\begin{aligned} X &= X^a \frac{\delta}{\delta t^a} + X^i \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} + X^{(a)}_{(i)} \frac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i}, \\ T &= T^{ai(k)(d)\ldots}_{cj(b)(l)\ldots} \frac{\delta}{\delta t^a} \otimes \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial p^d_l} \otimes dt^c \otimes dx^j \otimes \delta p^b_k \otimes \ldots, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & D_X T = X^g D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta t^g}} T + X^s D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^s}} T + X^{(g)}_{(s)} D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial p^g_s}} T = \\ & = \Big\{ X^g T^{ai(k)(d)\ldots}_{cj(b)(l)\ldots/g} + X^s T^{ai(k)(d)\ldots}_{cj(b)(l)\ldots|s} + \\ & + X^{(g)}_{(s)} T^{ai(k)(d)\ldots}_{cj(b)(l)\ldots} |^{(s)}_{(g)} \Big\} \frac{\delta}{\delta t^a} \otimes \frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial p^d_l} \otimes dt^c \otimes dx^j \otimes \delta p^b_k \otimes \ldots, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(h_{\mathcal{T}}\ )\begin{cases} T^{ai(k)(d)...}_{cj(b)(l).../g}=\dfrac{\delta T^{ai(k)(d)...}_{cj(b)(l)...}}{\delta t^g}+T^{fi(k)(d)...}_{cj(b)(l)...}A^a_{fg}+ \\ +T^{ar(k)(d)...}_{cj(b)(l)...}A^i_{rg}+T^{ai(r)(d)...}_{cj(f)(l)...}A^{(f)(k)}_{(r)(b)g}+...- \\ -T^{ai(k)(d)...}_{fj(b)(l)...}A^f_{cg}-T^{ai(k)(d)...}_{cr(b)(l)...}A^r_{jg}-T^{ai(k)(f)...}_{cj(b)(r)...}A^{(d)(r)}_{(l)(f)g}-..., \end{cases}$$

$$(h_M)\begin{cases} T^{ai(k)(d)...}_{cj(b)(l)...|s}=\dfrac{\delta T^{ai(k)(d)...}_{cj(b)(l)...}}{\delta x^s}+T^{fi(k)(d)...}_{cj(b)(l)...}H^a_{fs}+ \\ +T^{ar(k)(d)...}_{cj(b)(l)...}H^i_{rs}+T^{ai(r)(d)...}_{cj(f)(l)...}H^{(f)(k)}_{(r)(b)s}+...- \\ -T^{ai(k)(d)...}_{fj(b)(l)...}H^f_{cs}-T^{ai(k)(d)...}_{cr(b)(l)...}H^r_{js}-T^{ai(k)(f)...}_{cj(b)(r)...}H^{(d)(r)}_{(l)(f)s}-..., \end{cases}$$

$$(\ w\ )\begin{cases} T^{ai(k)(d)...}_{cj(b)(l)...}\Big|^{(s)}_{(g)}=\dfrac{\partial T^{ai(k)(d)...}_{cj(b)(l)...}}{\partial p^g_s}+T^{fi(k)(d)...}_{cj(b)(l)...}C^{a(s)}_{f(g)}+ \\ +T^{ar(k)(d)...}_{cj(b)(l)...}C^{i(s)}_{r(g)}+T^{ai(r)(d)...}_{cj(f)(l)...}C^{(f)(k)(s)}_{(r)(b)(g)}+...- \\ -T^{ai(k)(d)...}_{fj(b)(l)...}C^{f(s)}_{c(g)}-T^{ai(k)(d)...}_{cr(b)(l)...}C^{r(s)}_{j(g)}-T^{ai(k)(f)...}_{cj(b)(r)...}C^{(d)(r)(s)}_{(l)(f)(g)}-.... \end{cases}$$

Definition 6.10 *The local derivative operators "$_{/a}$", "$_{|i}$" and "$|^{(i)}_{(a)}$" are called the $\mathcal{T}$**-horizontal covariant derivative,** the M**-horizontal covariant derivative** and the **vertical covariant derivative attached to the** N**-linear connection** $D\Gamma(N)$. They are applied to the local components of an arbitrary d-tensor T on the dual 1-jet spaces E^*.*

By a direct calculation, we obtain

Proposition 6.11 *The operators "$_{/a}$", "$_{|i}$" and "$|^{(i)}_{(a)}$" have the properties:*

i) They are distributive with respect to the addition of the d-tensor fields of the same type.

ii) They commute with the operation of contraction.

iii) They verify the Leibniz rule with respect to the tensor product.

Remark 6.12 *i) If $T=f$ is a function on E^*, then the following expressions of the local covariant derivatives hold good:*

$$f_{/b}=\frac{\delta f}{\delta t^b}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial t^b}-\underset{1}{N}{}^{(a)}_{(i)b}\frac{\partial f}{\partial p^a_i},\quad f_{|j}=\frac{\delta f}{\delta x^j}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j}-\underset{(2)}{N}{}^{(a)}_{(i)j}\frac{\partial f}{\partial p^a_i},\quad f|^{(i)}_{(a)}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial p^a_i}.$$

ii) If $T=Y$ is a d-vector field on E^*, locally expressed by

$$Y=Y^a\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a}+Y^i\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}+Y^{(a)}_{(i)}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i},$$

then the following expressions of the local covariant derivatives hold good:

$$(h_{\mathcal{T}})\begin{cases} Y^a{}_{/c} = \dfrac{\delta Y^a}{\delta t^c} + Y^b A^a_{bc}, \\ Y^i{}_{/c} = \dfrac{\delta Y^i}{\delta t^c} + Y^j A^i_{jc}, \\ Y^{(a)}_{(i)/c} = \dfrac{\delta Y^{(a)}_{(i)}}{\delta t^c} - Y^{(b)}_{(j)} A^{(a)(j)}_{(i)(b)c}, \end{cases} \qquad (h_M)\begin{cases} Y^a{}_{|k} = \dfrac{\delta Y^a}{\delta x^k} + Y^b H^a_{bk}, \\ Y^i{}_{|k} = \dfrac{\delta Y^i}{\delta x^k} + Y^j H^i_{jk}, \\ Y^{(a)}_{(i)|k} = \dfrac{\delta Y^{(a)}_{(i)}}{\delta x^k} - Y^{(b)}_{(j)} H^{(a)(j)}_{(i)(b)k}, \end{cases}$$

$$(w)\begin{cases} Y^i \mid^{(k)}_{(c)} = \dfrac{\partial Y^a}{\partial p^c_k} + Y^b C^{a(k)}_{b(c)}, \\ Y^i \mid^{(k)}_{(c)} = \dfrac{\partial Y^i}{\partial p^c_k} + Y^j C^{i(k)}_{j(c)}, \\ Y^{(a)}_{(i)} \mid^{(k)}_{(c)} = \dfrac{\partial Y^{(a)}_{(i)}}{\partial p^c_k} - Y^{(b)}_{(j)} C^{(a)(j)(k)}_{(i)(b)(c)}. \end{cases}$$

iii) If $T = \omega$ is a d-covector field on E^*, locally expressed by

$$\omega = \omega_a dx^a + \omega_i dx^i + \omega^{(i)}_{(a)} \delta p^a_i,$$

then the following expressions of the local covariant derivatives hold good:

$$(h_{\mathcal{T}})\begin{cases} \omega_{a/c} = \dfrac{\delta \omega_a}{\delta t^c} - A^b_{ac}\omega_b, \\ \omega_{i/c} = \dfrac{\delta \omega_i}{\delta t^c} - A^j_{ic}\omega_j, \\ \omega^{(i)}_{(a)/c} = \dfrac{\delta \omega^{(i)}_{(a)}}{\delta t^c} + A^{(b)(i)}_{(j)(a)c}\omega^{(j)}_{(b)}, \end{cases} \qquad (h_M)\begin{cases} \omega_{a|k} = \dfrac{\delta \omega_a}{\delta x^k} - H^b_{ak}\omega_b, \\ \omega_{i|k} = \dfrac{\delta \omega_i}{\delta x^k} - H^j_{ik}\omega_j, \\ \omega^{(i)}_{(a)|k} = \dfrac{\delta \omega^{(i)}_{(a)}}{\delta x^k} + H^{(b)(i)}_{(j)(a)k}\omega^{(j)}_{(b)}, \end{cases}$$

$$(w)\begin{cases} \omega_a \mid^{(k)}_{(c)} = \dfrac{\partial \omega_a}{\partial p^c_k} - C^{b(k)}_{a(c)}\omega_b, \\ \omega_i \mid^{(k)}_{(c)} = \dfrac{\partial \omega_i}{\partial p^c_k} - C^{j(k)}_{i(c)}\omega_j, \\ \omega^{(i)}_{(a)} \mid^{(k)}_{(c)} = \dfrac{\partial \omega^{(i)}_{(a)}}{\partial p^c_k} + C^{(b)(i)(k)}_{(j)(a)(c)}\omega^{(j)}_{(b)}. \end{cases}$$

iv) Taking into account that for any 1-form $\omega \in \chi^*(E^*)$ we have, by definition,

$$(D_X\omega)(Y) = X\omega(Y) - \omega(D_XY), \ \forall\ X, Y \in \chi(E^*),$$

we find the rules of covariant derivatives for adapted cobasis (3.8) of covector fields on E^*, as following:

$$D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta t^c}} dt^a = -A^a_{bc}dt^b, \quad D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta t^c}} dx^i = -A^i_{jc}dx^j, \quad D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta t^c}} \delta p^a_i = A^{(a)(j)}_{(i)(b)c}\delta p^b_j,$$

$$D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k}} dt^a = -H^a_{bk} dt^b, \quad D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k}} dx^i = -H^i_{jk} dx^j, \quad D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k}} \delta p^a_i = H^{(a)(j)}_{(i)(b)k} \delta p^b_j,$$

$$D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial p^c_k}} dt^a = -C^{a(k)}_{b(c)} dt^b, \; D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial p^c_k}} dx^i = -C^{i(k)}_{j(c)} dx^j, \; D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial p^c_k}} \delta p^a_i = C^{(a)(j)(k)}_{(i)(b)(c)} \delta p^b_j.$$

v) In the particular case of the canonical Berwald $\overset{B}{N}$*-linear connection* $B\Gamma\left(\overset{B}{N}\right)$*, defined by the relations (6.9), (6.10) and (6.11), the local covariant derivatives are denoted by* "$_{//a}$", "$_{||i}$" *and* " $\|^{(i)}_{(a)}$ ".

Now, we shall give an application of this paragraph. In this direction, let us consider the *canonical Liouville-Hamilton d-tensor field of polymomenta* on E^*, given by

$$\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C}^{(a)}_{(i)} \frac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i},$$

where $\mathbb{C}^{(a)}_{(i)} = p^a_i$.

Definition 6.13 *The d-tensor fields defined by*

$$\Delta^{(a)}_{(i)b} = \mathbb{C}^{(a)}_{(i)/b}, \quad \Delta^{(a)}_{(i)j} = \mathbb{C}^{(a)}_{(i)|j}, \quad \vartheta^{(a)(j)}_{(i)(b)} = \mathbb{C}^{(a)}_{(i)}|^{(j)}_{(b)}, \tag{6.12}$$

are called the ***polymomentum deflection d-tensor fields attached to the*** N***-linear connection*** $D\Gamma(N)$*.*

By a direct calculation, we find

Proposition 6.14 *The polymomentum deflection d-tensor fields on* E^**, attached to the* N*-linear connection* $D\Gamma(N)$*, have the expressions*

$$\begin{gathered} \Delta^{(a)}_{(i)b} = -\underset{1}{N}{}^{(a)}_{(i)b} - A^{(a)(k)}_{(i)(c)b} p^c_k, \quad \Delta^{(a)}_{(i)j} = -\underset{2}{N}{}^{(a)}_{(i)j} - H^{(a)(k)}_{(i)(c)j} p^c_k, \\ \vartheta^{(a)(j)}_{(i)(b)} = \delta^a_b \delta^j_i - C^{(a)(k)(j)}_{(i)(c)(b)} p^c_k. \end{gathered} \tag{6.13}$$

Remark 6.15 *The polymomentum deflection d-tensor fields (6.13) will be used in a future paper for the construction of a* ***generalized polymomentum electromagnetic geometrical theory*** *(governed by some* ***generalized Maxwell equations****), which is derived starting from a given* ***polymomentum Hamiltonian function of non-autonomous electrodynamic kind****.*

7 The torsion of an N-linear connection

Let D be an N-linear connection on E^*. The torsion $\mathbb{T}$ of D is given by

$$\mathbb{T}(X, Y) = D_X Y - D_Y X - [X, Y], \; \forall \; X, Y \; \in \chi(E^*). \tag{7.1}$$

It is obvious that the torsion $\mathbb{T}$ can be evaluated by the pairs of d-vector fields $\left(X^{\mathcal{W}_\beta}, Y^{\mathcal{W}_\gamma}\right)$, $\left(X^{\mathcal{W}_\beta}, Y^{\mathcal{W}}\right)$, $\left(X^{\mathcal{W}}, Y^{\mathcal{W}}\right)$, where $\beta, \gamma = 1, 2$ and $\beta \leq \gamma$, $\mathcal{W}_1 = \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$, $\mathcal{W}_2 = \mathcal{H}_M$, and then we obtain the vector fields:

$$\mathbb{T}\left(X^{\mathcal{W}_\beta}, Y^{\mathcal{W}_\gamma}\right), \quad \mathbb{T}\left(X^{\mathcal{W}_\beta}, Y^{\mathcal{W}}\right), \quad \mathbb{T}\left(X^{\mathcal{W}}, Y^{\mathcal{W}}\right).$$

Because the N-linear connection D preserves by parallelism the distributions $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$, $\mathcal{H}_M$ and $\mathcal{W}$, and the vertical distribution $\mathcal{W}$ is integrable, we find

Proposition 7.1 *The following properties of the torsion $\mathbb{T}$ hold good:*

$$\begin{array}{lll} h_M\mathbb{T}\left(X^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}}, Y^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}}\right) = 0, & h_M\mathbb{T}\left(X^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}}, Y^{\mathcal{W}}\right) = 0, & h_{\mathcal{T}}\mathbb{T}\left(X^{\mathcal{H}_M}, Y^{\mathcal{H}_M}\right) = 0, \\ h_{\mathcal{T}}\mathbb{T}\left(X^{\mathcal{H}_M}, Y^{\mathcal{W}}\right) = 0, & h_{\mathcal{T}}\mathbb{T}\left(X^{\mathcal{W}}, Y^{\mathcal{W}}\right) = 0, & h_M\mathbb{T}\left(X^{\mathcal{W}}, Y^{\mathcal{W}}\right) = 0. \end{array}$$

From the preceding statement, we deduce

Proposition 7.2 *The torsion tensor field $\mathbb{T}$ of an N-linear connection D is uniquely determined by the following components:*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \mathbb{T}\left(X^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}}, Y^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}}\right) = h_{\mathcal{T}}\mathbb{T}\left(X^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}}, Y^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}}\right) + w\mathbb{T}\left(X^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}}, Y^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}}\right), \\ \mathbb{T}\left(X^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}}, Y^{\mathcal{H}_M}\right) = h_{\mathcal{T}}\mathbb{T}\left(X^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}}, Y^{\mathcal{H}_M}\right) + h_M\mathbb{T}\left(X^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}}, Y^{\mathcal{H}_M}\right) + \\ \qquad\qquad + w\mathbb{T}\left(X^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}}, Y^{\mathcal{H}_M}\right), \\ \mathbb{T}\left(X^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}}, Y^{\mathcal{W}}\right) = h_{\mathcal{T}}\mathbb{T}\left(X^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}}, Y^{\mathcal{W}}\right) + w\mathbb{T}\left(X^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}}, Y^{\mathcal{W}}\right), \end{array}\right. \tag{7.2}$$

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \mathbb{T}\left(X^{\mathcal{H}_M}, Y^{\mathcal{H}_M}\right) = h_M\mathbb{T}\left(X^{\mathcal{H}_M}, Y^{\mathcal{H}_M}\right) + w\mathbb{T}\left(X^{\mathcal{H}_M}, Y^{\mathcal{H}_M}\right), \\ \mathbb{T}\left(X^{\mathcal{H}_M}, Y^{\mathcal{W}}\right) = h_M\mathbb{T}\left(X^{\mathcal{H}_M}, Y^{\mathcal{W}}\right) + w\mathbb{T}\left(X^{\mathcal{H}_M}, Y^{\mathcal{W}}\right), \end{array}\right. \tag{7.3}$$

$$\mathbb{T}\left(X^{\mathcal{W}}, Y^{\mathcal{W}}\right) = w\mathbb{T}\left(X^{\mathcal{W}}, Y^{\mathcal{W}}\right), \tag{7.4}$$

where in the right part of each equality we have d-tensor fields on E^*.

Definition 7.3 *The terms from (7.2), (7.3) and (7.4) are called the* ***d-tensors of torsion*** *of the N-linear connection D. More exactly, $h_{\mathcal{T}}\mathbb{T}\left(X^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}}, Y^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}}\right)$ is called the $h_{\mathcal{T}}$ $(h_{\mathcal{T}}h_{\mathcal{T}})$*-***tensor of torsion*** *of D, $h_M\mathbb{T}(X^{\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}}, Y^{\mathcal{H}_M})$ is called the h_M $(h_{\mathcal{T}}h_M)$*-***tensor of torsion*** *of D and so on.*

Now, let us suppose that the N-linear connection D is given in the adapted basis (3.5) by the coefficients $D\Gamma(N)$ from (6.8). In such a context, we have

Theorem 7.4 *The torsion d-tensors of the N-linear connection D on E^* have the expressions:*

$$h_{\mathcal{T}}\mathbb{T}\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta t^b}, \frac{\delta}{\delta t^a}\right) = T^c_{ab}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^c}, \quad h_M\mathbb{T}\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta t^b}, \frac{\delta}{\delta t^a}\right) = T^k_{ab}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k},$$

$$w\mathbb{T}\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta t^b}, \frac{\delta}{\delta t^a}\right) = T^{(f)}_{(r)ab}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^f_r},$$

$$h_{\mathcal{T}}\mathbb{T}\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^j},\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a}\right)=T^c_{aj}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^c},\quad h_M\mathbb{T}\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^j},\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a}\right)=T^k_{aj}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k},$$

$$w\mathbb{T}\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^j},\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a}\right)=T^{(f)}_{(r)aj}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^f_r},$$

$$h_{\mathcal{T}}\mathbb{T}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p^b_j},\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a}\right)=P^{c(j)}_{a(b)}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^c},\quad h_M\mathbb{T}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p^b_j},\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a}\right)=P^{k(j)}_{a(b)}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k},$$

$$w\mathbb{T}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p^b_j},\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a}\right)=P^{(f)\ (j)}_{(r)a(b)}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^f_r},$$

$$h_{\mathcal{T}}\mathbb{T}\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^j},\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}\right)=T^c_{ij}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^c},\quad h_M\mathbb{T}\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^j},\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}\right)=T^k_{ij}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k},$$

$$w\mathbb{T}\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^j},\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}\right)=T^{(f)}_{(r)ij}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^f_r},$$

$$h_{\mathcal{T}}\mathbb{T}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p^b_j},\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}\right)=P^{c(j)}_{i(b)}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^c},\quad h_M\mathbb{T}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p^b_j},\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}\right)=P^{k(j)}_{i(b)}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k},$$

$$w\mathbb{T}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p^b_j},\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}\right)=P^{(f)\ (j)}_{(r)i(b)}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^f_r},$$

$$h_{\mathcal{T}}\mathbb{T}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p^b_j},\frac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i}\right)=S^{c(i)(j)}_{\ (a)(b)}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^c},\quad h_M\mathbb{T}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p^b_j},\frac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i}\right)=S^{k(i)(j)}_{\ (a)(b)}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k},$$

$$w\mathbb{T}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p^b_j},\frac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i}\right)=S^{(f)(i)(j)}_{(r)(a)(b)}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^f_r},$$

where

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}T^c_{ab}=A^c_{ab}-A^c_{ba},\quad T^k_{ab}=0,\quad T^{(f)}_{(r)ab}=R^{(f)}_{(r)ab},\\ T^c_{aj}=H^c_{aj},\quad T^k_{aj}=-A^k_{ja},\quad T^{(f)}_{(r)aj}=R^{(f)}_{(r)aj},\\ P^{c(j)}_{a(b)}=C^{c(j)}_{a(b)},\quad P^{k(j)}_{a(b)}=0,\quad P^{(f)\ (j)}_{(r)a(b)}=B^{(f)\ (j)}_{(r)a(b)}+A^{(f)(j)}_{(r)(b)a},\end{array}\right. \tag{7.5}$$

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}T^c_{ij}=0,\quad T^k_{ij}=H^k_{ij}-H^k_{ji},\quad T^{(f)}_{(r)ij}=R^{(f)}_{(r)ij},\\ T^{c(j)}_{i(b)}=0,\quad P^{k(j)}_{i(b)}=C^{k(j)}_{i(b)},\quad P^{(f)\ (j)}_{(r)i(b)}=B^{(f)\ (j)}_{(r)i(b)}+H^{(f)(j)}_{(r)(b)i},\end{array}\right. \tag{7.6}$$

$$S^{c(i)(j)}_{\ (a)(b)}=0,\quad S^{k(i)(j)}_{\ (a)(b)}=0,\quad S^{(f)(i)(j)}_{(r)(a)(b)}=-\left(C^{(f)(i)(j)}_{(r)(a)(b)}-C^{(f)(j)(i)}_{(r)(b)(a)}\right) \tag{7.7}$$

and the d-tensors $R^{(f)}_{(r)ab}$, $R^{(f)}_{(r)aj}$, $R^{(f)}_{(r)ij}$, $B^{(f)\ (j)}_{(r)a(b)}$ *and* $B^{(f)\ (j)}_{(r)i(b)}$ *are given by (5.2).*

Proof. Taking into account the Poisson brackets formulas (5.1) and (5.2), together with the description in the adapted basis (3.5) of the N-linear connection $D\Gamma(N)$ given by the local coefficients (6.8), we successively obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mathcal{T}}\mathbb{T}\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta t^b},\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a}\right) &= h_{\mathcal{T}}D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta t^b}}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a} - h_{\mathcal{T}}D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a}}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^b} - h_{\mathcal{T}}\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta t^b},\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a}\right] = \\ &= \left(A^c_{ab}-A^c_{ba}\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta t^c}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the first equality from (7.5) is true. In the sequel, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_M\mathbb{T}\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^j},\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a}\right) &= h_M D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta x^j}}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a} - h_M D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a}}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^j} - h_M\left[\frac{\delta}{\delta x^j},\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a}\right] = \\ &= -A^k_{ja}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k} \end{aligned}$$

and the fifth equality from (7.5) is correct. Then, for example

$$\begin{aligned} w\mathbb{T}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p^b_j},\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a}\right) &= wD_{\frac{\partial}{\partial p^b_j}}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a} - wD_{\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a}}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^b_j} - w\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial p^b_j},\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a}\right] = \\ &= \left(A^{(f)(j)}_{(r)(b)a} + B^{(f)\ (j)}_{(r)a(b)}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial p^f_r} \end{aligned}$$

and the ninth equality from (7.5) is true. In the same manner, we obtain the other equalities. ■

Corollary 7.5 *The torsion $\mathbb{T}$ of an arbitrary N-linear connection D on E^* is determined by* ***12*** *effective local d-tensors, arranged in the following table:*

	$h_{\mathcal{T}}$	h_M	w
$h_{\mathcal{T}}h_{\mathcal{T}}$	T^c_{ab}	0	$R^{(f)}_{(r)ab}$
$h_M h_{\mathcal{T}}$	T^c_{aj}	T^k_{aj}	$R^{(f)}_{(r)aj}$
$wh_{\mathcal{T}}$	$P^{c(j)}_{a(b)}$	0	$P^{(f)\ (j)}_{(r)a(b)}$
$h_M h_M$	0	T^k_{ij}	$R^{(f)}_{(r)ij}$
wh_M	0	$P^{k(j)}_{i(b)}$	$P^{(f)\ (j)}_{(r)i(b)}$
ww	0	0	$S^{(f)(i)(j)}_{(r)(a)(b)}$

Example 7.6 *For the particular case of the canonical $\overset{B}{N}$-linear Berwald connection $B\Gamma(\overset{B}{N})$, where $\overset{B}{N}=\overset{0}{N}$ is given by (3.6), all d-tensors of torsion vanish except*

$$R^{(f)}_{(r)ab}=\varkappa^f_{gab}p^g_r,\quad R^{(f)}_{(r)ij}=-\mathbf{r}^s_{rij}p^f_s,$$

where $\varkappa^f_{gab}(t)$ (resp. $\mathbf{r}^s_{rij}(x)$) are the local curvature tensors of the semi-Riemannian metric $h_{ab}(t)$ (resp. $\varphi_{ij}(x)$).

8 The curvature of an N-linear connection

Let D be an N-linear connection on E^*. The curvature $\mathbb{R}$ of D is given by

$$\mathbb{R}\left(X,Y\right)Z = D_X D_Y Z - D_Y D_X Z - D_{[X,Y]}Z,\ \forall\ X,Y,Z \in \chi\left(E^*\right). \tag{8.1}$$

We will express $\mathbb{R}$ by his adapted components, taking into account the decomposition (3.12) of the vector fields on E^*. In this direction, we firstly prove

Theorem 8.1 *The curvature tensor field $\mathbb{R}$ of the N-linear connection D on E^* has the properties:*

$$\begin{gathered}
h_M\mathbb{R}\left(X,Y\right)Z^{\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{T}} = 0, \quad w\mathbb{R}\left(X,Y\right)Z^{\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{T}} = 0,\\
h_\mathcal{T}\mathbb{R}\left(X,Y\right)Z^{\mathcal{H}_M} = 0, \quad w\mathbb{R}\left(X,Y\right)Z^{\mathcal{H}_M} = 0, \qquad (8.2)\\
h_\mathcal{T}\mathbb{R}\left(X,Y\right)Z^{\mathcal{W}} = 0, \quad h_M\mathbb{R}\left(X,Z\right)Z^{\mathcal{W}} = 0,\\
\mathbb{R}\left(X,Y\right)Z = h_\mathcal{T}\mathbb{R}\left(X,Y\right)Z^{\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{T}} + h_M\mathbb{R}\left(X,Y\right)Z^{\mathcal{H}_M} + w\mathbb{R}\left(X,Y\right)Z^{\mathcal{W}}.
\end{gathered}$$

Proof. Because D preserves by parallelism the $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{T}$-horizontal, $\mathcal{H}_M$-horizontal and vertical distributions, via the formula (8.1), the operator $\mathbb{R}\left(X,Y\right)$ carries $h_\mathcal{T}$-horizontal (resp. h_M-horizontal) vector fields into $h_\mathcal{T}$-horizontal (resp. h_M-horizontal) vector fields and the vertical vector fields into vertical vector fields. Thus, the first six equations from (8.2) hold good. The next one is an easy consequence of the first six. ■

By straightforward calculus, we obtain

Theorem 8.2 *The curvature tensor $\mathbb{R}$ of the N-linear connection D is completely determined by* ***18*** *local* ***d-tensors of curvature:***

$$\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta t^c},\frac{\delta}{\delta t^b}\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a} = R^d_{abc}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^d}, \quad \mathbb{R}\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta t^c},\frac{\delta}{\delta t^b}\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} = R^l_{ibc}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^l},$$

$$\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta t^c},\frac{\delta}{\delta t^b}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i} = -R^{(d)(i)}_{(l)(a)bc}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^d_l},$$

$$\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k},\frac{\delta}{\delta t^b}\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a} = R^d_{abk}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^d}, \quad \mathbb{R}\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k},\frac{\delta}{\delta t^b}\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} = R^l_{ibk}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^l},$$

$$\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k},\frac{\delta}{\delta t^b}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i} = -R^{(d)(i)}_{(l)(a)bk}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^d_l},$$

$$\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p^c_k},\frac{\delta}{\delta t^b}\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a} = P^{d\ (k)}_{ab(c)}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^d}, \quad \mathbb{R}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p^c_k},\frac{\delta}{\delta t^b}\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} = P^{l\ (k)}_{ib(c)}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^l},$$

$$\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p^c_k},\frac{\delta}{\delta t^b}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial p^a_i} = -P^{(d)(i)\ (k)}_{(l)(a)b(c)}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^d_l},$$

$$\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k},\frac{\delta}{\delta x^j}\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a} = R^d_{ajk}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^d}, \quad \mathbb{R}\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k},\frac{\delta}{\delta x^j}\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i} = R^l_{ijk}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^l},$$

$$\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x^k},\frac{\delta}{\delta x^j}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i^a}=-R_{(l)(a)jk}^{(d)(i)}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_l^d},$$

$$\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_k^c},\frac{\delta}{\delta x^j}\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a}=P_{aj(c)}^{d\ \ (k)}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^d},\quad \mathbb{R}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_k^c},\frac{\delta}{\delta x^j}\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}=P_{ij(c)}^{l\ \ (k)}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^l},$$

$$\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_k^c},\frac{\delta}{\delta x^j}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i^a}=-P_{(l)(a)j(c)}^{(d)(i)\ \ (k)}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_l^d},$$

$$\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_k^c},\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j^b}\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta t^a}=S_{a(b)(c)}^{d(j)(k)}\frac{\delta}{\delta t^d},\quad \mathbb{R}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_k^c},\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j^b}\right)\frac{\delta}{\delta x^i}=S_{i(b)(c)}^{l(j)(k)}\frac{\delta}{\delta x^l},$$

$$\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_k^c},\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j^b}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i^a}=-S_{(l)(a)(b)(c)}^{(d)(i)(j)(k)}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_l^d},$$

which we can arrange in the following table:

	$h_{\mathcal{T}}$	h_M	w
$h_{\mathcal{T}}h_{\mathcal{T}}$	R_{abc}^d	R_{ibc}^l	$R_{(l)(a)bc}^{(d)(i)}$
$h_Mh_{\mathcal{T}}$	R_{abk}^d	R_{ibk}^l	$R_{(l)(a)bk}^{(d)(i)}$
$wh_{\mathcal{T}}$	$P_{ab(c)}^{d\ \ (k)}$	$P_{ib(c)}^{l\ \ (k)}$	$P_{(l)(a)b(c)}^{(d)(i)\ \ (k)}$
h_Mh_M	R_{ajk}^d	R_{ijk}^l	$R_{(l)(a)jk}^{(d)(i)}$
wh_M	$P_{aj(c)}^{d\ \ (k)}$	$P_{ij(c)}^{l\ \ (k)}$	$P_{(l)(a)j(c)}^{(d)(i)\ \ (k)}$
ww	$S_{a(b)(c)}^{d(j)(k)}$	$S_{i(b)(c)}^{l(j)(k)}$	$S_{(l)(a)(b)(c)}^{(d)(i)(j)(k)}$

(8.3)

Theorem 8.3 *The local curvature d-tensors (8.3) are given by the following formulas:*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1.\quad R_{abc}^d & = & \dfrac{\delta A_{ab}^d}{\delta t^c}-\dfrac{\delta A_{ac}^d}{\delta t^b}+A_{ab}^fA_{fc}^d-A_{ac}^fA_{fb}^d+C_{a(f)}^{d(r)}R_{(r)bc}^{(f)},\\[2ex]
2.\quad R_{abk}^d & = & \dfrac{\delta A_{ab}^d}{\delta x^k}-\dfrac{\delta H_{ak}^d}{\delta t^b}+A_{ab}^fH_{fk}^d-H_{ak}^fA_{fb}^d+C_{a(f)}^{d(r)}R_{(r)bk}^{(f)},\\[2ex]
3.\quad P_{ab(c)}^{d\ \ (k)} & = & \dfrac{\partial A_{ab}^d}{\partial p_k^c}-C_{a(c)/b}^{d(k)}+C_{a(f)}^{d(r)}P_{(r)b(c)}^{(f)\ \ (k)},\\[2ex]
4.\quad R_{ajk}^d & = & \dfrac{\delta H_{aj}^d}{\delta x^k}-\dfrac{\delta H_{ak}^d}{\delta x^j}+H_{aj}^fH_{fk}^d-H_{ak}^fH_{fj}^d+C_{a(f)}^{d(r)}R_{(r)jk}^{(f)},\\[2ex]
5.\quad P_{aj(c)}^{d\ \ (k)} & = & \dfrac{\partial H_{aj}^d}{\partial p_k^c}-C_{a(c)|j}^{d(k)}+C_{a(f)}^{d(r)}P_{(r)j(c)}^{(f)\ \ (k)},\\[2ex]
6.\quad S_{a(b)(c)}^{d(j)(k)} & = & \dfrac{\partial C_{a(b)}^{d(j)}}{\partial p_k^c}-\dfrac{\partial C_{a(c)}^{d(k)}}{\partial p_j^b}+C_{a(b)}^{f(j)}C_{f(c)}^{d(k)}-C_{a(c)}^{f(k)}C_{f(b)}^{d(j)},
\end{array}\right.$$

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
7. \quad R^{l}_{ibc} & = & \dfrac{\delta A^{l}_{ib}}{\delta t^{c}} - \dfrac{\delta A^{l}_{ic}}{\delta t^{b}} + A^{r}_{ib}A^{l}_{rc} - A^{r}_{ic}A^{l}_{rb} + C^{l(r)}_{i(f)}R^{(f)}_{(r)bc}, \\
8. \quad R^{l}_{ibk} & = & \dfrac{\delta A^{l}_{ib}}{\delta x^{k}} - \dfrac{\delta H^{l}_{ik}}{\delta t^{b}} + A^{r}_{ib}H^{l}_{rk} - H^{r}_{ik}A^{l}_{rb} + C^{l(r)}_{i(f)}R^{(f)}_{(r)bk}, \\
9. \quad P^{l\ \ (k)}_{ib(c)} & = & \dfrac{\partial A^{l}_{ib}}{\partial p^{c}_{k}} - C^{l(k)}_{i(c)/b} + C^{l(r)}_{i(f)}P^{(f)\ \ (k)}_{(r)b(c)}, \\
10. \quad R^{l}_{ijk} & = & \dfrac{\delta H^{l}_{ij}}{\delta x^{k}} - \dfrac{\delta H^{l}_{ik}}{\delta x^{j}} + H^{r}_{ij}H^{l}_{rk} - H^{r}_{ik}H^{l}_{rj} + C^{l(r)}_{i(f)}R^{(f)}_{(r)jk}, \\
11. \quad P^{l\ \ (k)}_{ij(c)} & = & \dfrac{\partial H^{l}_{ij}}{\partial p^{c}_{k}} - C^{l(k)}_{i(c)|j} + C^{l(f)}_{i(r)}P^{(r)\ \ (k)}_{(f)j(c)}, \\
12. \quad S^{l(j)(k)}_{i(b)(c)} & = & \dfrac{\partial C^{l(j)}_{i(b)}}{\partial p^{c}_{k}} - \dfrac{\partial C^{l(k)}_{i(c)}}{\partial p^{b}_{j}} + C^{r(j)}_{i(b)}C^{l(k)}_{r(c)} - C^{r(k)}_{i(c)}C^{l(j)}_{r(b)},
\end{array}\right.$$

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
13. \quad R^{(d)(i)}_{(l)(a)bc} & = & \dfrac{\delta A^{(d)(i)}_{(l)(a)b}}{\delta t^{c}} - \dfrac{\delta A^{(d)(i)}_{(l)(a)c}}{\delta t^{b}} + A^{(d)(r)}_{(l)(f)b}A^{(f)(i)}_{(r)(a)c} - \\
 & & -A^{(d)(r)}_{(l)(f)c}A^{(f)(i)}_{(r)(a)b} + C^{(d)(i)(r)}_{(l)(a)(f)}R^{(f)}_{(r)bc}, \\
14. \quad R^{(d)(i)}_{(l)(a)bk} & = & \dfrac{\delta A^{(d)(i)}_{(l)(a)b}}{\delta x^{k}} - \dfrac{\delta H^{(d)(i)}_{(l)(a)k}}{\delta t^{b}} + A^{(d)(r)}_{(l)(f)b}H^{(f)(i)}_{(r)(a)k} - \\
 & & -H^{(d)(r)}_{(l)(f)k}A^{(f)(i)}_{(r)(a)b} + C^{(d)(i)(r)}_{(l)(a)(f)}R^{(f)}_{(r)bk}, \\
15. \quad P^{(d)(i)\ \ (k)}_{(l)(a)b(c)} & = & \dfrac{\partial A^{(d)(i)}_{(l)(a)b}}{\partial p^{c}_{k}} - C^{(d)(i)(k)}_{(l)(a)(c)/b} + C^{(d)(i)(r)}_{(l)(a)(f)}P^{(f)\ \ (k)}_{(r)b(c)}, \\
16. \quad R^{(d)(i)}_{(l)(a)jk} & = & \dfrac{\delta H^{(d)(i)}_{(l)(a)j}}{\delta x^{k}} - \dfrac{\delta H^{(d)(i)}_{(l)(a)k}}{\delta x^{j}} + H^{(d)(r)}_{(l)(f)j}H^{(f)(i)}_{(r)(a)k} - \\
 & & -H^{(d)(r)}_{(l)(f)k}H^{(f)(i)}_{(r)(a)j} + C^{(d)(i)(r)}_{(l)(a)(f)}R^{(f)}_{(r)jk}, \\
17. \quad P^{(d)(i)\ \ (k)}_{(l)(a)j(c)} & = & \dfrac{\partial H^{(d)(i)}_{(l)(a)j}}{\partial p^{c}_{k}} - C^{(d)(i)(k)}_{(l)(a)(c)|j} + C^{(d)(i)(r)}_{(l)(a)(f)}P^{(f)\ \ (k)}_{(r)j(c)}, \\
18. \quad S^{(d)(i)(j)(k)}_{(l)(a)(b)(c)} & = & \dfrac{\partial C^{(d)(i)(j)}_{(l)(a)(b)}}{\partial p^{c}_{k}} - \dfrac{\partial C^{(d)(i)(k)}_{(l)(a)(c)}}{\partial p^{b}_{j}} + C^{(d)(r)(j)}_{(l)(f)(b)}C^{(f)(i)(k)}_{(r)(a)(c)} - \\
 & & -C^{(d)(r)(k)}_{(l)(f)(c)}C^{(f)(i)(j)}_{(r)(a)(b)}.
\end{array}\right.$$

Proof. Taking into account the description in the adapted basis (3.5) of the N-linear connection $D\Gamma(N)$ given by the local coefficients (6.8), together with the formulas (5.1) and (5.2), we obtain, for example,

$$\mathbb{R}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p^{c}_{k}}, \frac{\delta}{\delta t^{b}}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial p^{a}_{i}} = -P^{(d)(i)\ \ (k)}_{(l)(a)b(c)}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^{d}_{l}} =$$

$$= D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial p_k^c}} D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta t^b}} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i^a} - D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta t^b}} D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial p_k^c}} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i^a} - D_{\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial p_k^c},\frac{\delta}{\delta t^b}\right]} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i^a} =$$

$$= -D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial p_k^c}} \left(A^{(f)(i)}_{(r)(a)b}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_r^f}\right) + D_{\frac{\delta}{\delta t^b}}\left(C^{(f)(i)(k)}_{(r)(a)(c)}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_r^f}\right) + B^{(f)\ (k)}_{(r)b(c)} D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial p_r^f}} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i^a} =$$

$$\begin{aligned} = \quad & -\frac{\partial A^{(d)(i)}_{(l)(a)b}}{\partial p_k^c}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_l^d} + A^{(f)(i)}_{(r)(a)b} C^{(d)(r)(k)}_{(l)(f)(c)} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_l^d} + \\ & + \frac{\delta C^{(d)(i)(k)}_{(l)(a)(c)}}{\delta t^b}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_l^d} - C^{(f)(i)(k)}_{(r)(a)(c)} A^{(d)(r)}_{(l)(f)b}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_l^d} - B^{(f)\ (k)}_{(r)b(c)} C^{(d)(i)(r)}_{(l)(a)(f)}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_l^d}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P^{(d)(i)\ (k)}_{(l)(a)b(c)} &= \frac{\partial A^{(d)(i)}_{(l)(a)b}}{\partial p_k^c} - \underline{\underline{A^{(f)(i)}_{(r)(a)b} C^{(d)(r)(k)}_{(l)(f)(c)}}} - \\ & \underline{\underline{-\frac{\delta C^{(d)(i)(k)}_{(l)(a)(c)}}{\delta t^b} + C^{(f)(i)(k)}_{(r)(a)(c)} A^{(d)(r)}_{(l)(f)b}}} + B^{(f)\ (k)}_{(r)b(c)} C^{(d)(i)(r)}_{(l)(a)(f)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using the formula of $\mathcal{T}$-horizontal covariant derivative, we get

$$\begin{aligned} C^{(d)(i)(k)}_{(l)(a)(c)/b} &= \underline{\underline{\frac{\delta C^{(d)(i)(k)}_{(l)(a)(c)}}{\delta t^b} - C^{(f)(i)(k)}_{(r)(a)(c)} A^{(d)(r)}_{(l)(f)b} + C^{(d)(r)(k)}_{(l)(f)(c)} A^{(f)(i)}_{(r)(a)b}}} + \\ & + C^{(d)(i)(r)}_{(l)(a)(f)} A^{(f)(k)}_{(r)(c)b}, \end{aligned}$$

and, consequently, interchanging the underlined terms, it follows

$$P^{(d)(i)\ (k)}_{(l)(a)b(c)} = \frac{\partial A^{(d)(i)}_{(l)(a)b}}{\partial p_k^c} - C^{(d)(i)(k)}_{(l)(a)(c)/b} + C^{(d)(i)(r)}_{(l)(a)(f)} P^{(f)\ (k)}_{(r)b(c)},$$

where we also used the last formula from (7.5). Obviously, this is the $15^{\text{-}th}$ relation of the above lot.

The other equalities are given in the same manner. ■

Example 8.4 *For the canonical Berwald* $\overset{0}{N}$*-linear connection* $B\Gamma\left(\overset{0}{N}\right)$*, which is associated to the pair of semi-Riemannian metrics* $h_{ab}(t)$ *and* $\varphi_{ij}(x)$*, all local curvature d-tensors vanish, except*

$$R^d_{abc} = \varkappa^d_{abc}, \quad R^{(d)(i)}_{(l)(a)bc} = -\delta^i_l \varkappa^d_{abc}, \quad R^l_{ijk} = \mathbf{r}^l_{ijk}, \quad R^{(d)(i)}_{(l)(a)jk} = \delta^d_a \mathbf{r}^i_{ljk},$$

where $\varkappa^d_{abc}(t)$ *(resp.* $\mathbf{r}^l_{ijk}(x)$*) are the local curvature tensors of the semi-Riemannian metric* $h_{ab}(t)$ *(resp.* $\varphi_{ij}(x)$*).*

References

[1] R. Abraham, J. E. Marsden, *Foundations of Mechanics*, Benjamin, New York, 1978.

[2] M. Anastasiei, H. Kawaguchi, *A Geometrical Theory of Time Dependent Lagrangians. I. Non-linear Connections, II. M-connections*, Tensor N. S. **48** (1989), 273-282, 283-293.

[3] M. Anastasiei, H. Kawaguchi, *A Geometrical Theory of Time Dependent Lagrangians. III. Applications*, Tensor N. S. **49** (1990), 296-304.

[4] G. S. Asanov, *Jet Extension of Finslerian Gauge Approach*, Fortschritte der Physik **38**, No. **8** (1990), 571-610.

[5] Gh. Atanasiu, *The Invariant Expression of Hamilton Geometry*, Tensor N. S. **47**, No. **3** (1988), 225-234.

[6] Gh. Atanasiu, F. C. Klepp, *Nonlinear Connections in Cotangent Bundle*, Publ. Math., Debrecen, Hungary, **39**, No. **1-2** (1991), 107-111.

[7] I. Bucătaru, R. Miron, *Finsler-Lagrange Geometry. Applications to Dynamical Systems*, Editura Academiei Române, Bucharest, 2007.

[8] G. Giachetta, L. Mangiarotti, G. Sardanashvily, *Covariant Hamiltonian Field Theory*, http://arXiv.org/hep-th/9904062, (1999).

[9] G. Giachetta, L. Mangiarotti, G. Sardanashvily, *Polysymplectic Hamiltonian Formalism and some Quantum Outcomes*, http://arXiv.org/hep-th/0411005, (2004).

[10] M. Gotay, J. Isenberg, J. E. Marsden, R. Montgomery, *Momentum Maps and Classical Fields. Part I. Covariant Field Theory*, http://arXiv.org/physics/9801019v2, (2004).

[11] M. Gotay, J. Isenberg, J. E. Marsden, *Momentum Maps and Classical Fields. Part II. Canonical Analysis of Field Theories*, http://arXiv.org/math-ph/0411032, (2004).

[12] I. V. Kanatchikov, *Basic Structures of the Covariant Canonical Formalism for Fields Based on the De Donder-Weyl Theory*, http://arXiv.org/hep-th/9410238, (1994).

[13] I. V. Kanatchikov, *On Quantization of Field Theories in Polymomentum Variables*, AIP Conf. Proc. **453** (1998), 356-367; http://arXiv.org/hep-th/9811016, (1998).

[14] I. V. Kanatchikov, *On the Canonical Structure of the De Donder-Weyl Covariant Hamiltonian Formulation of Field Theory I. Graded Poisson brackets and equations of motion*, http://arXiv.org/hep-th/9312162, (1993).

[15] R. Miron, *Hamilton Geometry*, An. Şt. "Al. I. Cuza" Univ., Iaşi, Romania, **35** (1989), 33-67.

[16] R. Miron, M. Anastasiei, *The Geometry of Lagrange Spaces: Theory and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1994.

[17] R. Miron, D. Hrimiuc, H. Shimada, S. V. Sabău, *The Geometry of Hamilton and Lagrange Spaces*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2001.

[18] R. Miron, M. S. Kirkovits, M. Anastasiei, *A Geometrical Model for Variational Problems of Multiple Integrals*, Proc. of Conf. on Diff. Geom. and Appl., Dubrovnik, Yugoslavia, June 26-July 3, (1988), 8-25.

[19] M. Neagu, *Riemann-Lagrange Geometry on 1-Jet Spaces*, Matrix Rom, Bucharest, 2005.

[20] M. Neagu, *The Geometry of Relativistic Rheonomic Lagrange Spaces*, Proceedings of Workshop on Global Analysis, Differential Geometry and Lie Algebras, No. **5** (2001); Editor: Prof. Dr. Grigorios Tsagas, University "Aristotle" of Thessaloniki, Greece, 142-169.

[21] M. Neagu, C. Udrişte, *Torsion, Curvature and Deflection d-Tensors on* $J^1(T,M)$, Balkan Journal of Geometry and Its Applications, Vol. **6**, No. **1** (2001), 29-45.

[22] D. Saunders, *The Geometry of Jet Bundles*, Cambridge University Press, New York, London, 1989.

Author's address: Gheorghe ATANASIU, Str. Gh. Baiulescu, Nr. 11, Braşov, BV 500107, Romania.
E-mail: gh_atanasiu@yahoo.com
Place of work: University "Transilvania" of Braşov, Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Department of Algebra, Geometry and Differential Equations.

Author's address: Mircea NEAGU, Str. Lămâiţei, Nr. 66, Bl. 93, Sc. G, Ap. 10, Braşov, BV 500371, Romania.
E-mail: mirceaneagu73@yahoo.com
Place of work: University "Transilvania" of Braşov, Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Department of Algebra, Geometry and Differential Equations.